## **Example Judging Evaluation Form for the 51st WKU Student Research Conference**

Start of Block: Default Question Block	
Q1 Judge Info	
O Judge First Name	
O Judge Last Name	
O Judge Email Address	
Q2 Presentation Info	
O Primary Presenter's First Name	
O Primary Presenter's Last Name	
O Session Number	
O Mentor's First Name	
O Mentor's Last Name	
Page Break	

Q3

After viewing the video presentation and question-and-answer portion, rate the presenter on each of the seven criteria using the following scale: 0 = very poor 2 = poor (well below average; substantial improvements needed) 4 = fair (average; many improvements needed) 6 = good (slightly above average; small number of improvements possible) 8 = excellent (far above average; room for minor improvements) 10 = superior

Q3-A

## ORGANIZATION AND CONTENT

Effectively communicates introduction/background/rationale or reason for scholarly activity  Logical and organized flow of			
material			
Demonstrates depth of understanding in discipline			

Page Break —

## Q3-B PRESENTATION TECHNIQUE

I			enii oi a	ue ioi ue	eterriiiriii	ng the se	ession w	mner.			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Style and ease of presentation											
Effective use of time (5 minutes for posters; 10 minutes for full presentations)											
Presentation of material appropriate for											
an interdisciplinary audience (including any visual aids incorporated into video presentation)*											
Ability to respond to questions											
Q4 <b>Optional Writ</b> If you like, you can returned to the pre	n provide	written					ent. The	ese eval	uation co	omments	s will be
									-		
									- -		
									_		
-											
Q5											