Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: <u>Substantive Change</u> 2015 Continuance Documentation Flow

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Gordon Emslie	Date Submitted: 9/10/14	
Contact Email address: gordon.emslie@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: 745-2297	
1. Type of Change:		
Addition: Where possible, identify the section of	the handbook to which addition is proposed:	
Deletion: Identify the section of the handbook from	om which deletion is proposed:	
Revision: Identify the section of the handbook to IV.B.3.a	which revision is proposed:	

2. Proposals should be made in the form of text intended as an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook.

Current wording

By September 10 (February 7 for the first year evaluation), the committee chair will send a memorandum to the department head in which the faculty discussion is summarized and the vote count reported. The department head will then promptly inform in writing each candidate for continuance of the results of the committee's vote. Any deficiency in performance will be clearly identified, documented and explained and the faculty member under review will be given a copy of the evaluation with an opportunity to respond. Candidates may submit a response to department head. The department head's recommendation to the dean will include the result of the continuance committee's vote and any response from the candidate.

Proposed wording

"By September 10 (February 7 for the first year evaluation), the committee chair will send a memorandum to the department head in which the faculty discussion is summarized and the vote count reported. The department head will then promptly provide the candidate with a continuation evaluation, which will include:

- the committee's vote count.
- the committee's memorandum in which the candidate's strengths and weaknesses in performance, as noted by the committee and/or the department head, will be clearly identified, documented and explained. The candidate will be given an opportunity to send to the department head a response to this evaluation. The department head's recommendation to the dean will include the committee's memorandum, the result of the continuance committee's vote, the department head's continuance evaluation, and any response by the candidate to that evaluation."
- 3. Rationale for amendment:

Clarifies which documentation is provided to the department head, the candidate, and the dean, respectively, and to which document the candidate is provided an opportunity to respond. Also uses consistent wording, such as "candidate" for "faculty member under review."