From: Kelly Madole, Handbook Committee Chair

To: Mac McKerral, Senate Chair

Re: Non-Substantive Changes to the Faculty Handbook, 18th Ed.

Date: April 1, 2013

The Handbook Committee recommends the following substantive revisions to the WKU Faculty Handbook, 18^{th} Edition:

- 1. 2013-001 Tenure at appointment
- 2. 2013-002 Faculty Appointments
- 3. 2013-003 Faculty Appointments
- 4. 2013-004 Promotion

Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: <u>Substantive Change</u>

2013-001 Tenure at Appointment

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Kelly Madole	Date Submitted: 11/29/12
Contact Email address: kelly.madole@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: 56475
1. Type of Change:	
⊠Addition: Where possible, identify the sec IV.B.3.	etion of the handbook to which addition is proposed:
Deletion: Identify the section of the handb	book from which deletion is proposed:
Revision: Identify the section of the handle	book to which revision is proposed:
2. Proposals should be made in the form of text inten in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook	<u>-</u>
Current wording of section IV.B.3.b:	
The procedures to be followed in tenure reco	mmendations are:
Change IV.B.3.b to read:	
For faculty hired with a probationary period recommendations are:	od, the procedures to be followed in tenure
Add a section IV.B.3.c that reads:	
For faculty who may be granted tenure at appoin recommendations are identical to those describe review will occur at the time of appointment, with the recommendation by the President.	ed in IV.B.3.b.iv – IV.B.3.b.vi except that the
3. Rationale for amendment:	

Establish procedures for granting tenure at appointment.

Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: <u>Substantive Change</u>

2013-002 Faculty Appointments

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Kelly Madole	Date Submitted: 3/27/13
Contact Email address: kelly.madole@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: 56475
1. Type of Change:	
Addition: Where possible, identify the sec	etion of the handbook to which addition is proposed:
Deletion: Identify the section of the handle	book from which deletion is proposed:
Revision: Identify the section of the handle	book to which revision is proposed:
II.A.1	
2. Proposals should be made in the form of text inten- in part, some current section of the Faculty Handboo	ided as an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or k.
with the Search Committee) will forward the strength for a faculty position to the Department Head, withou candidate. The Department Head is authorized to for administrative channels in accordance with the University	ut selecting, ranking, or recommending any single rward a recommendation for appointment through ersity's policies and procedures. The University e Board of Regents good faith offers of employment
Unless otherwise specifically stated in writing probationary appointments for the duration of one ac period leading to tenure. During the probationar section IV. Full-time, tenure track faculty hold the a professor, or professor and teach a full course load a academic assignment that is more than fifty percent	cademic year are appointed with a probationary by period they receive continuance reviews as per cademic rank of assistant professor, associate as stipulated by the University, or has have an
3. Rationale for amendment:	
Clarification and wording.	

Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: Substantive Change

2013-003 Faculty Appointments

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Kelly Madole	Date Submitted: 3/27/13	
Contact Email address: kelly.madole@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: 56475	
1. Type of Change:		
Addition: Where possible, identify the section of	the handbook to which addition is proposed:	
Deletion: Identify the section of the handbook from which deletion is proposed:		
Revision: Identify the section of the handbook to II.A.2	which revision is proposed:	
2. Proposals should be made in the form of text intended as a in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook.	an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or	

2. Faculty Members Appointed to Positions Requiring Doctoral Degree

<u>Delete</u> the following paragraph in Section II.A.2:

Faculty members appointed to tenure-track positions requiring a doctoral degree and who hold a probationary term of appointment may be granted an extension of the maximum probationary period with no resulting change in employment obligations according to University policy. For more on extension of the probationary term, see academic policy 1.1240

3. Rationale for amendment:

Paragraph is not relevant to this section. Same language appears in Section IV.B.3 where it is more appropriate.

Proposal to Amend WKU Faculty Handbook: Substantive Change

2013-004 Promotion decisions

Substantive change is defined as addition, deletion, or revision of policy or procedure.

Contact Name: Kelly Madole	Date Submitted: 3/27/13
Contact Email address: kelly.madole@wku.edu	Contact Phone number: -56475
1. Type of Change:	
Addition: Where possible, identify the section of	the handbook to which addition is proposed:
Deletion: Identify the section of the handbook from	om which deletion is proposed:
Revision: Identify the section of the handbook to III.A.	which revision is proposed:

2. Proposals should be made in the form of text intended as an addition to or a replacement of, in whole or in part, some current section of the Faculty Handbook.

<u>Current wording</u>: University policy requires the following for promotion at every rank: sustained achievement appropriate for this rank in teaching effectiveness, research/creative activity, and University/public service.

Sustained achievement will be considered only as it is relevant to the individual's area of professional competence. Further, only contributions since the last promotion will be considered for the next promotion. It is the responsibility of the candidate seeking promotion to provide promotion committees with the appropriate evidence on which to base a decision.

<u>Suggested revision</u>: Promotion decisions at every rank are based on sustained achievement appropriate for that rank in the areas of teaching effectiveness, research/creative activity, and University/public service. Sustained achievement in the candidate's entire body of work is considered, but only as it is relevant to the individual's area of professional competence. Further, an emphasis is placed on contributions since the last set of successful promotion materials were submitted for consideration. It is the responsibility of the candidate seeking promotion to provide promotion committees with the appropriate evidence on which to base a decision.

3. Rationale for amendment:

Allow consideration of candidate's entire body of work while still emphasizing recent work. Eliminate questions about contributions that occur in the "dead time" from submission of portfolio to promotion decision.