

This rubric is to be used by Honors Development Board members to evaluate HDG applications

## Honors Development Grants Evaluation Rubric

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

WKU ID # \_\_\_\_\_

| Category  | 3   | 2.5   | 2  | 1  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| <b>Type of proposed project</b><br><br>Score ____ x3 = ____           | Request is for materials/travel to support a CE/T project.  | Request is for materials/travel to support/disseminate an independent project (class augment, pilot study, presentation at a professional conference, etc.) | Request is for a class-based project that produces a product (film, paper, tool, etc.)   | Request is for class travel or conference attendance.                  |
|   | 3   | 2   |  | 1  |
| <b>Justification of educational value</b><br><br>Score ____ x2 = ____ | Proposal <b>clearly</b> articulates the current and future importance of this project and how it contributes to course of study, intellectual development, and/or research goals. | The proposal articulates how/why this project contributes to intellectual development, a particular course, or short-term research goals.                   |  | Proposal does not articulate the short or long-term educational value. |
|   | 3   | 2   |  | 1  |
| <b>Budget</b><br><br>Score ____ x1 = ____                             | Provides a detailed budget.   | Provides general budget.  |  | Provides no specific budget information.                               |
|   | 3   | 2.5   | 2  | 1  |
| <b>Other funding sources</b><br><br>Score ____ x1 = ____              | Evidence that <b>MHC scholar</b> actively sought 2+ additional funding sources  | Evidence that <b>MHC scholar</b> actively sought 1 additional funding sources   | Evidence that someone sought funds on behalf of MHC scholar (e.g., faculty, supervisor). | No evidence that other funding sources have been sought.               |

**Total Score =** \_\_\_\_\_