Assurance of Student Learning				
2019-2020				
Potter College of Arts and Letters	Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies			
615 – Asian Religions and Cultures				
Merrall Price, Interim Dept. Head				

	, <u> </u>		
Use this page t	o list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be con	npleted in th	e subsequent
pages.			
Student Learn	ing Outcome 1: Students will be able to effectively collect and analyze evidence in the field of Asian religions and cul	tures in supp	ort of a
position.			
Instrument	Direct: Analysis of Senior Seminar papers		
1			
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Learn	ing Outcome 2: Students will be able to demonstrate effective written communication skills appropriate to the field of	Asian religi	ons and
cultures.			
Instrument	Direct: Analysis of Senior Seminar papers		
1			
Instrument	Direct: Analysis of senior papers written in upper-level seminars.		
2			
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
		11200	1100111200
	ing Outcome 3: Students will demonstrate global and/or intercultural learning in thier written communication.		
Instrument	Direct: Analysis of Senior Seminar papers		
1			
			Г
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sum	mary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		
the data, the fact this is a course uniform manner	8-2019 academic year, the same assessment action resulted in the program failing to meet 75% of the Student Learning culty determined that the reason for the difference between that year and the 2019-2020 academic year was how the senice that generally rotates among faculty members, a decision was reached to implement changes in the course overall so er and in a way that it was taught during spring 2020. As it is important to keep the assessment criteria the same while student learning outcomes with the same targets will remain unchanged.	or seminar w that it is tau	as taught. As aght in a more

Student Learning Outcome 1						
<b>Student Learning Outcome</b>	Students will be position.	Students will be able to effectively collect and analyze evidence in the field of Asian religions and cultures in support of a position.				
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct: Analysi	s of Senior Seminar papers				
Criteria for Student Success	_	AAC&U VALUES rubric for Inquiry and Ana with respect to topic selection, existing know	•	•	• •	
Program Success Target for t Measurement	Program Success Target for this         90% of students at capstone (4) or upper         Percent of Program			100%		
Methods	Direct: Artifacts from the senior seminar capstone course final paper were collected from all students in the course $(N = 4)$ and all identifiers removed. All papers were assessed. The papers were split among two full-time faculty so that each paper was read twice by two different reviewers. In the event there was a notable difference in the score between the two faculty members, a third faculty member read the paper and the score of all three readers was averaged. The rubric used for scoring was drawn from the Written Communication Leap VALUE Rubrics from AAC&U. Of the four papers assessed for this learning outcome, all four achieved a score of 4, or capstone level.					
Based on your results, highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.  Met  Not Met						

#### **Actions**

After the assessment process, the two faculty members met to discuss the results, particularly in light of the previous year's assessment where 75% of the student learning outcomes were not met.

After careful analysis, the faculty members concluding that the manner in which the senior seminars are structured makes an important difference. As this is a course that generally rotates among faculty members, a decision was reached to implement changes.

#### Follow-Up

- 1. We have restructured ARC 499 to focus on the necessary skills required for graduating students entering graduate program and professional careers in Asian Studies and in Asian countries.
- 2. The revised version of ARC 499 will include units on citation styles, research methods, academic publishing, theories and methods in graduate academic study, and writing for the professional and academic market.
- 3. The course will conclude with a capstone-style assignment, e.g., a conference paper, which will be used as evidence for the changes we have made and their evaluation.

#### **Next Assessment Cycle Plan**

More than likely, a different faculty member will be teaching the senior seminar in spring 2021. The ARC faculty member teaching it will implement the changes listed above. As it is important to keep the assessment criteria the same while the transformation takes place, the same student learning outcomes with the same targets will remain unchanged.

100
Rubric for Student Learning Outcome 1: Students will be able to effectively collect and analyze evidence in the field of Asian religions and cultures in support of a position.

	Capstone (4)	Upper Milestone (3)	Lower Milestone (2)	Benchmark (1)
Topic selection	Identifies a creative,	Identifies a focused and	Identifies a topic within the	Identifies a topic within the
	focused, and	manageable/doable topic	study of Asian religions and	study of Asian religions and
	manageable topic	within the study of Asian	cultures that while	cultures that is far too general
	within the study of	religions and cultures that	manageable/doable, is too	and wide-ranging as to be
	Asian religions and	appropriately addresses	narrowly focused and leaves	manageable and doable.
	cultures that addresses	relevant aspects of the topic.	out relevant aspects of the	
	potentially significant		topic.	
	aspects of the topic.			
Existing Knowledge, Research,	Synthesizes in-depth	Presents in-depth information	Presents information from	Presents information from
and/or Views	information from	from relevant sources	relevant sources representing	irrelevant sources representing
	relevant sources	representing various points of	limited points of	limited points of
	representing various	view/approaches.	view/approaches.	view/approaches.
	points of			
	view/approaches.			
Analysis	Organizes and	Organizes evidence to reveal	Organizes evidence, but the	Lists evidence, but it is not
	synthesizes evidence	important patterns, differences,	organization is not effective in	organized and/or is unrelated to
	to reveal insightful	or similarities related to focus.	revealing important patterns,	focus.
	patterns, differences,		differences, or similarities.	
	or similarities related			
	to focus.			
Conclusion	States a conclusion	The conclusion arises	States a general conclusion	States an ambiguous, illogical,
	that is a logical	specifically from and responds	that, because it is so general,	or unsupportable conclusion
	extrapolation from the	specifically to the inquiry	also applies beyond the scope	from inquiry findings.
	inquiry findings.	findings.	of the inquiry findings.	

	Student Learning Outcome 2				
<b>Student Learning Outcome</b>	Students will be able to demonstrate effective written communication skills appropriate to the field of Asian religions and				
	cultures.				
<b>Measurement Instrument 1</b>	Direct: Analysi	s of Senior Seminar papers			
Criteria for Student Success	Drawing from .	AAC&U VALUES rubric for Written Commu	unication, criteria for student success is c	apstone level o	r upper
	milestone level	with respect to content development, sources	and evidence, and control of syntax and	mechanics.	
<b>Program Success Target for t</b>	this	90%	Percent of Program Achieving	100%	
Measurement			Target		
	•				
Methods		s from the senior seminar capstone course fin			
	all identifiers removed. All papers were assessed. The papers were split among two full-time faculty so that each paper was read				
	twice by two different reviewers. In the event there was a notable difference in the score between the two faculty members, a				
	third faculty member read the paper and the score of all three readers was averaged. The rubric used for scoring was drawn				
	from the Critical Thinking, Information Literacy, and Written Communication Leap VALUE Rubrics from AAC&U. Of the				
	four papers assessed for this learning outcome, two achieved a score of 4, or capstone level, one achieved a score of 3, or upper				
	milestone level, and another scored an average of 3.5.				
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.  Met  Not Met					

#### Actions

After the assessment process, the two faculty members met to discuss the results, particularly in light of the previous year's assessment where 75% of the student learning outcomes were not met.

After careful analysis, the faculty members concluding that the manner in which the senior seminars are structured makes an important difference. As this is a course that generally rotates among faculty members, a decision was reached to implement changes.

## Follow-Up

- 1. We have restructured ARC 499 to focus on the necessary skills required for graduating students entering graduate program and professional careers in Asian Studies and in Asian countries.
- 2. The revised version of ARC 499 will include units on citation styles, research methods, academic publishing, theories and methods in graduate academic study, and writing for the professional and academic market.
- 3. The course will conclude with a capstone-style assignment, e.g., a conference paper, which will be used as evidence for the changes we have made and their evaluation.

# Next Assessment Cycle Plan

More than likely, a different faculty member will be teaching the senior seminar in spring 2021. The ARC faculty member teaching it will implement the changes listed above. As it is important to keep the assessment criteria the same while the transformation takes place, the same student learning outcomes with the same targets will remain unchanged.

**Rubric for Student Learning Outcome 2:** Students will be able to demonstrate effective written communication skills appropriate to the field of Asian religions and cultures.

	Capstone (4)	Upper Milestone (3)	Lower Milestone (2)	Benchmark (1)
Content Development	Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and this shapes the whole work.	Uses appropriate, relevent, and compelling content to explore ideas within the context of the discipline and this shapes the whole work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop and explore ideas through most of the work.	Uses appropriate and relevant content to develop simple ideas in some parts of the work.
Sources and Evidence	Demonstrates skillful use of high-quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate to philosophy and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates consistent use of credible, relevant sources to support ideas that are situated within philosophy and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use credible and/or relevant sources to support ideas that are appropriate for philosophy and genre of the writing.	Demonstrates an attempt to use sources to support ideas in the writing.
Control of Syntax and Mechanics	Č	Uses straightforward language that generally conveys meaning to readers. The writing has few errors.	Uses language that generally conveys meaning to readers with clarity, although writing may include some errors.	Uses language that sometimes impedes meaning because of errors in usage.

Student Learning Outcome 3					
<b>Student Learning Outcome</b>	Students will d	Students will demonstrate global and/or intercultural learning in thier written communication.			
<b>Measurement Instrument 1</b>	Direct: Analysi	Direct: Analysis of Senior Seminar papers			
Criteria for Student Success  Drawing from AAC&U VALUES rubric for intercultural knowledge and competence and global learning, criteria for student success is capstone level or upper milestone level with respect to knowledge of cultural worldview frameworks, perspective taking, and curiosity.					
Program Success Targe	Program Success Target for this 90% Percent of Program Achieving 100%				
Measurement			Target		
Methods	Direct: Artifacts from the senior seminar capstone course final paper were collected from all students in the course ( $N = 4$ ) and all identifiers removed. All papers were assessed. The papers were split among two full-time faculty so that each paper was read twice by two different reviewers. In the event there was a notable difference in the score between the two faculty members, a third faculty member read the paper and the score of all three readers was averaged. The rubric used for scoring was drawn from the Information Literacy and Written Communication Leap VALUE Rubrics from AAC&U.  Of the four papers assessed for this learning outcome, all four achieved a score of 4, or capstone level				
Actions					

After the assessment process, the two faculty members met to discuss the results, particularly in light of the previous year's assessment where 75% of the student learning outcomes were not met.

After careful analysis, the faculty members concluding that the manner in which the senior seminars are structured makes an important difference. As this is a course that generally rotates among faculty members, a decision was reached to implement changes.

## Follow-Up

- 1. We have restructured ARC 499 to focus on the necessary skills required for graduating students entering graduate program and professional careers in Asian Studies and in Asian countries.
- 2. The revised version of ARC 499 will include units on citation styles, research methods, academic publishing, theories and methods in graduate academic study, and writing for the professional and academic market.
- 3. The course will conclude with a capstone-style assignment, e.g., a conference paper, which will be used as evidence for the changes we have made and their evaluation.

#### **Next Assessment Cycle Plan**

More than likely, a different faculty member will be teaching the senior seminar in spring 2021. The ARC faculty member teaching it will implement the changes listed above. As it is important to keep the assessment criteria the same while the transformation takes place, the same student learning outcomes with the same targets will remain unchanged.

# Rubric for Student Learning Outcome 3: Students will demonstrate global and/or intercultural learning in thier written communication.

	Capstone (4)	Upper Milestone (3)	Lower Milestone (2)	Benchmark (1)
Perspective Taking	Evaluates and applies diverse perspectives to complex subjects in the face of multiple and even conflicting positions.	Synthesizes other perspectives (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical).	Identifies and explains multiple perspectives (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical).	Identifies multiple perspectives while maintaining a value preference for own positioning (such as cultural, disciplinary, and ethical).
Knowledge of cultural frameworks	Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the complexity of elements important to other cultures and contexts in relation to history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates adequate understanding of the complexity of elements important to other cultures and contexts in relation to history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates partial understanding of the complexity of elements important to other cultures and contexts in relation to history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates surface understanding of the complexity of elements important to other cultures and contexts in relation to history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.
Curiosity	Writing asks complex questions about other cultures, seeks out and articulates answers to these questions that reflect an understanding of different cultural or global perspectives.	Writing asks deeper questions about other cultures and contexts and seeks out answers to these questions.	Writing asks simple or surface questions about other cultures and contexts.	Writing reflects minimal interest in learning more about other cultures and contexts.