Assurance of Student Learning

2019-2020

Potter College

Communication

User Experience (UX) Certificate 1747

Use this page to list lear	ning outcomes, measurements, and s	ummarize results for your program.		
Detailed	Detailed information must be completed in the subsequent pages.			
• Student Learning Outcome 1: Create a	nd use successful advertising design ar	nd media strategies		
Instrument 1	Direct: Faculty review and analysis of the capstone portfolio project in UX 400 based on disciplinary and professional expectations and standards, analysis of market trends, and comparison with student work created in benchmark programs. See attached rubric.			
Instrument 2	Indirect: Evaluation by professionals in the User Experience professional community (including but not limited to program alumni), including those directly involved in hiring, creative direction and development, and strategic decision-making.			
Instrument 3	_			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met		
Student Learning Outcome 2: Make str	Student Learning Outcome 2: Make strategically sound analyses of advertising decisions			
Instrument 1	Direct: Faculty review and analysis of the capstone portfolio project in AD 410 [Portfolio] based on disciplinary and professional expectations and standards, analysis of market trends, and comparison with student work created in benchmark programs. See attached rubric.			
	Indirect: Evaluation by professionals in the User Experience professional community (including but not limited to program alumni), including those directly involved in hiring, creative direction and development, and strategic decision-making.			
Instrument 3	_			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met		
Student Learning Outcome 3: Apply kn	owledge and creativity to real-world a	dvertising challenges.		
Instrument 1	Direct: Faculty review and analysis of the capstone portfolio project in UX 400 based on disciplinary and professional expectations and standards, analysis of market trends, and comparison with student work created in benchmark programs. See attached rubric.			
Instrument 2	Indirect: Evaluation by professionals in the User Experience professional community (including but not limited to program alumni), including those directly involved in hiring, creative direction and development, and strategic decision-making.			
Instrument 3	—			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met		

Program Summary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)

This assessment indicates that student-learning-outcomes have been maintained and/or surpassed expected goals in each category. Annual reviews of course offerings will help strengthen program goals and outcomes. Ultimately, a continuing process of evaluation and adjustment will better prepare students for jobs/careers in the field of User Experience.

This is a relatively new program. As it grows and changes, we expect to move from our baseline to establishing some more ambitious learning goals for our graduates that will allow us to establish a culture of continuous improvement. We have new SLOs, and will draw from them in the next review cycle., where we will be clearer about the number of students being assessed. Finally, we will look into ways of divorcing assessment from grades, and provide the rubric we use for this.

	Student Learning Outcome 1		
Student Learning Outcome	Create and use successful advertising design and media strategies		
Measurement Instrument 1	 DIRECT: Direct: Analysis of the final portfolio in UX 400 Direct measures of student learning: students in Advanced Interactive Design (UX 400) are given multiple prototyping, design and development assignments including a requirement for a final digital portfolio. Development of a portfolio and contents began in the first User Experience class and culminates in UX 400. Students are encouraged to follow the tenets of effective interactive design (color, typography, layout and clean code) with a primary focus on user experience, to develop a successful portfolio. A percentage of project work should be presented in the form of a case study and include research and explanations of process with examples. Students were evaluated based on their ability to research, design and execute. Solutions must demonstrate an ability to think critically and ideas should be presented effectively and clearly indicate an understanding of advertising/user experience design and media strategy. 		
Criteria for Student Success	Students should, at the end of the semester, score between a 90-100 for an A or an 80-89.9 for a B. Please refer to the attached rubric.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90%		
Methods	Student portfolios are submitted during finals week of each semester following the completion of all UX 400 assignments. Portfolios are reviewed by the instructor of record although all Advertising/UX faculty may and probably do participate in the review. The review/evaluation should simulate an industry professional portfolio review. The design and function of the portfolio itself may garner critique, but work contained in the portfolio will also be reviewed and suggestions for improvement will be shared with the student.		
Measurement Instrument 2	INDIRECT measures of student learning: As referenced above, a sampling of student portfolios are shared with qualified alums and professionals in the industry for professional review. This review process will often lead to an interview for employment. A secondary proof of success is reflected in the large number of UX (and advertising) students who find employment in the industry (locally, regionally and nationally) shortly after graduation.		
Criteria for Student Success	INDIRECT criteria for student success include those responses (both solicited and unsolicited) received from external reviewers and professionals. The criteria for success using these indirect measures is either employment somewhere in the User Experience/Advertising industry, or a demonstration of success as a freelancer working in an area of User Experience/Advertising. In addition, success can also be measured by		

	students who successfully complete an industry approved commercial certification and/or are licensed to			
	use a industry respected tool or process during their tenure as a student.			
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	90%	
Methods	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90% The evaluation process is qualitative and often involves both faculty and industry professionals. Portfolios are used for moving forward in the industry—either into a job or on to an upper level degree. Occasionally professionals in the industry or recently graduated alums may also review student portfolios and make recommendations. The faculty stay in touch with our industry partners and our alumni and make every effort to respond to their critique by implementing changes in the classroom. Positive industry feedback includes: • Motivated • Skilled with software • Demonstrates good problem-solving skills • • Concerns include: • • A lack of maturity • • Very high expectations • • A short-term focus on career •			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the				
program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.		<mark>™</mark>	<mark>1et</mark> Not Met	
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions pla	anned for program impro	vement. The actions should include a timeline.)		
recommendations for change are implemented immediately vi working in the profession are also evaluated and implemented change in the industry. The process of long-term change usual	a edits to the course sylla when possible. Syllabi fo y begins in the spring and	esses in each class including UX 400, including specific assignment abus and changes to future assignments. Industry critique and sugg r technical and design classes may change every semester in an eff d through the summer. Changes in curriculum, new course creation um change must be run through several curriculum review commit	gestions from alums fort to keep up with n, textbooks and	
•	has occurred, describe h	ow the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)		
Based on ongoing change in the Advertising/User Experience d will work together to increase the amount of industry review a well aware of the radical change taking place in this industry ar	iscipline, student learnin nd encourage profession nd are constantly looking	g outcomes have been modified and will be included in the next re als and successful alums to visit or video-teleconference in the clas for innovative ways to keep course content relevant and embrace t to stay abreast of shifting requirements and expectations, and to	ssroom. Faculty are change. In	

	Student Learning Outcome 2		
Student Learning Outcome	Make strategically sound analyses of advertising decisions		
Measurement Instrument 1	DIRECT: Direct: Analysis of the final portfolio in UX 400 Direct measures of student learning: students in Advanced Interactive Design (UX 400) are given multiple prototyping, design and development assignments including a requirement for a final digital portfolio. Development of a portfolio and contents began in the first User Experience class and culminates in UX 400. Students are encouraged to follow the tenets of effective interactive design (color, typography, layout and clean code) with a primary focus on user experience, to develop a successful portfolio. A percentage of project work should be presented in the form of a case study and include research and explanations of process with examples.		
	Students were evaluated based on their ability to research, design and execute. Solutions must demonstrate an ability to think critically and ideas should be presented effectively and clearly indicate an understanding of advertising design and media strategy.		
Criteria for Student Success	Students should, at the end of the semester, score between a 90-100 for an A or an 80-89.9 for a B. Please refer to the attached rubric.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90%		
Methods	Student portfolios are submitted during finals week of each semester following the completion of all UX 400 assignments. Portfolios are reviewed by the instructor of record although all Advertising/UX faculty may and probably do participate in the review. The review/evaluation should simulate an industry professional portfolio review. The design and function of the portfolio itself may garner critique, but work contained in the portfolio will also be reviewed and suggestions for improvement will be shared with the student.		
Measurement Instrument 2	INDIRECT measures of student learning: As referenced above, a sampling of student portfolios are shared with qualified alums and professionals in the industry for professional review. This review process will often lead to an interview for employment. A secondary proof of success is reflected in the large number of UX (and advertising) students who find employment in the industry (locally, regionally and nationally) shortly after graduation.		
Criteria for Student Success	INDIRECT criteria for student success include those responses (both solicited and unsolicited) received from external reviewers and professionals. The criteria for success using these indirect measures is either employment somewhere in the User Experience/Advertising industry, or a demonstration of success as a freelancer working in an area of User Experience/Advertising. In addition, success can also be measured by students who successfully complete an industry approved commercial certification and/or are licensed to use a industry respected tool or process during their tenure as a student.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90%		
Methods	The evaluation process is qualitative and often involves both faculty and industry professionals. Portfolios are used for moving forward in the industry—either into a job or on to an upper level degree. Occasionally professionals in the industry or recently graduated alums may also review student portfolios and make recommendations. The faculty stay in touch with our industry partners and our alumni and make every effort to respond to their critique by implementing changes in the classroom. Positive industry feedback includes:		

	 Motivated Skilled with software Demonstrates good problem-solving skills Communicates well Concerns include: 		
	A lack of maturity		
	Very high expectations		
	A short-term focus on career		
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the	Met Not Met		
program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	iviet Not iviet		
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions plan	nned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)		
recommendations for change are implemented immediately via working in the profession are also evaluated and implemented v change in the industry. The process of long-term change usually more in-depth changes to assignments can require COMM facul implementation.	uss strengths and weaknesses in each class including UX 400, including specific assignments. Simple edits to the course syllabus and changes to future assignments. Industry critique and suggestions from alums when possible. Syllabi for technical and design classes may change every semester in an effort to keep up with begins in the spring and through the summer. Changes in curriculum, new course creation, textbooks and ty approval and curriculum change must be run through several curriculum review committees before		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)			
will work together to increase the amount of industry review an well aware of the radical change taking place in this industry and	scipline, student learning outcomes have been modified and will be included in the next review cycle. Faculty Id encourage professionals and successful alums to visit or video-teleconference in the classroom. Faculty are d are constantly looking for innovative ways to keep course content relevant and embrace change. In mplemented in an effort to stay abreast of shifting requirements and expectations, and to better evaluate		

student portfolios.

	Student Learning Outcome 3		
Student Learning Outcome	Apply knowledge and creativity to real-world advertising challenges.		
Measurement Instrument 1	DIRECT: Direct: Analysis of the final portfolio in UX 400 Direct measures of student learning: students in Advanced Interactive Design (UX 400) are given multiple prototyping, design and development assignments including a requirement for a final digital portfolio. Development of a portfolio and contents began in the first User Experience class and culminates in UX 400. Students are encouraged to follow the tenets of effective interactive design (color, typography, layout and clean code) with a primary focus on user experience, to develop a successful portfolio. A percentage of project work should be presented in the form of a case study and include research and explanations of process with examples.		
	Students were evaluated based on their ability to research, design and execute. Solutions must demonstrate an ability to think critically and ideas should be presented effectively and clearly indicate an understanding of advertising design and media strategy.		
Criteria for Student Success	Students should, at the end of the semester, score between a 90-100 for an A or an 80-89.9 for a B. Please refer to the attached rubric.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90%		
Methods	Student portfolios are submitted during finals week of each semester following the completion of all UX 400 assignments. Portfolios are reviewed by the instructor of record although all Advertising/UX faculty may and probably do participate in the review. The review/evaluation should simulate an industry professional portfolio review. The design and function of the portfolio itself may garner critique, but work contained in the portfolio will also be reviewed and suggestions for improvement will be shared with the student.		
Measurement Instrument 2	INDIRECT measures of student learning: As referenced above, a sampling of student portfolios are shared with qualified alums and professionals in the industry for professional review. This review process will often lead to an interview for employment. A secondary proof of success is reflected in the large number of UX (and advertising) students who find employment in the industry (locally, regionally and nationally) shortly after graduation.		
Criteria for Student Success	INDIRECT criteria for student success include those responses (both solicited and unsolicited) received from external reviewers and professionals. The criteria for success using these indirect measures is either employment somewhere in the User Experience/Advertising industry, or a demonstration of success as a freelancer working in an area of User Experience/Advertising. In addition, success can also be measured by students who successfully complete an industry approved commercial certification and/or are licensed to use a industry respected tool or process during their tenure as a student.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	85-90% Percent of Program Achieving Target 90%		
Methods	The evaluation process is qualitative and often involves both faculty and industry professionals. Portfolios are used for moving forward in the industry—either into a job or on to an upper level degree. Occasionally professionals in the industry or recently graduated alums may also review student portfolios and make recommendations. The faculty stay in touch with our industry partners and our alumni and make every effort to respond to their critique by implementing changes in the classroom. Positive industry feedback includes: Motivated 		

	 Skilled with software Demonstrates good problem-solving skills Communicates well Concerns include: A lack of maturity Very high expectations A short-term focus on career 		
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the	Met Not Met		
program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.			
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions plan	nned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)		
Advertising and User Experience faculty meet informally to discuss strengths and weaknesses in UX 400, including specific assignments. Simple recommendations for change			
are implemented immediately via edits to the course syllabus and changes to future assignments. Industry critique and suggestions from alums working in the profession are			
also evaluated and implemented when possible. Syllabi for technical and design classes may change every semester in an effort to keep up with change in the industry. The			
process of long-term change usually begins in the spring and the	ough the summer. Changes in curriculum, new course creation, textbooks and more in-depth changes to		
	n change must be run through several curriculum review committees before implementation.		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)			
	scipline, student learning outcomes have been modified and will be included in the next review cycle. Faculty		
will work together to increase the amount of industry review and encourage professionals and successful alums to visit or video-teleconference in the classroom. Faculty are			
well aware of the radical change taking place in this industry and are constantly looking for innovative ways to keep course content relevant and embrace change. In			
	mplemented in an effort to stay abreast of shifting requirements and expectations, and to better evaluate		
student portfolios.			

Name_

CRN

___Date__

Rubric for AD/UX Capstone Portfolio

Task Description: Create a personal career portfolio with as many documents as you have available for each section:

- Cover letter
- Table of contents
- Résumé
- Employability skills
- Commercial licenses/certificates
- Awards

- Goals and plans for the future
- Transcripts
- Work samples
- Service learning/volunteer log
- Employment evaluations
- Letters of recommendation

- Public online portfolio
- Short biography
- Work samples
- Case studies
- Digital resume / downloadable
- Contact information / form

Criteria	weight	4 - Professional	3 - Apprentice	2 - Novice	1 - Beginner
Planning Process	20%	 Clearly defined goals Detailed plan consistent with goals 	 Defined goals Plan of action loosely based on goals 	 Limited goals Undefined plan of action 	 No clearly defined goals No plan of action
Content/ Organization	15%	 Includes required content Content presented in a concise, well-organized manner 	 Includes most required content Organization is adequate 	 Fewer than half of the required components Some organization; difficult to follow 	 Lack of required components Lack of organization
Innovative Components	15%	 Demonstrates a high degree of insight, originality, and creativity 	Demonstrates some originality or creativity	Demonstrates a low level of creativity	Demonstrates no originality or creativity
Product	50%	 Polished and well presented High level understanding of career concepts Product would serve as a strong asset in employment search 	 Neat and presentable General knowledge base of career concepts Product would be an acceptable tool in employment search 	 Reasonably neat and presentable Gaps in knowledge base of career concepts With additional attention to detail, product could become valuable in employment search 	 Poorly presented No evidence of knowledge base of career concepts Product is not suitable in employment search

Portfolio Score _____