Assurance of Student Learning 2018-2019				
PCAL	ART			
613: BA Art History				

Use this pag	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed in the subsequent pages.	information must b	e completed
Student Lean	ning Outcome 1: Craft a well-articulated argument that advances the understanding of an a	rt historical topic.	
Instrument 1	Direct: Capstone research paper		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lean	ning Outcome 2: Write professionally, cogently, and correctly.	·	
Instrument 1	Direct: Capstone research paper		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Program Sur	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		
	ar: we will refine current rubrics; begin a curricular map / assessment; and develop a third Student Learning Outcome, a students' ability to: Demonstrate comprehension of major art historical movement(s) and / or theory(ies) and/or objects	along with a measuremen	t instrument and

		Student Learn	ing Outcome	21			
Student Learning Outcome							
Measurement Instrument 1	ment 1 Direct: Capstone research paper						
	All students in the required Art History program capstone course, the Art History Seminar (ART 494), wrote a $3,000 - 3,500$ word research paper, using correct citations, on a topic they selected from areas covered by the course, in which they analyzed and incorporated major are historical movements and theories.						
	To evaluate SLO 1, students were evaluated on argumentation and sophistication, including whether and how well they made a and whether or not and how well that argument sought to advance understanding of the paper topic.						
Criteria for Student Success	Success is defined as 3.5 / 5 or higher on this outcome.						
Program Success Target for this Measuremen		70%		Percent of Program Achieving Target	71		
Methods	<ul> <li>Papers were stripped of identifying information. All art history majors in the course (N = 7) were assessed by two readers. Evaluation addressed: Was the paper well researched? Are the sources appropriate? Is the point of the paper clear?</li> <li>SLO was evaluated on a scale of 1 – 5 by each reader, with a final score as an average of the scores of both readers. Readers were departmental faculty, but not the instructor for the course. Scores between 3.5 and 5 were counted as achieving the target.</li> </ul>						
Based on your results, circle or	highlight whether	the program met the goal Studen	t Learning Out	come 1.	Met	Not Met	
Actions (Describe the decision-m	aking process and	actions planned for program improv	ement. The acti	ons should include a timeline.)	1		
We continue to refine the Student	Learning Outcom	es for this program, along with the n	neasurement inst		ed.		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	e for follow-up. If	follow-up has occurred, describe ho	ow the actions ab	ove have resulted in program improvemen	t.)		
				it our students to master upon graduating; line from which to evaluate changes to the			

	Student Learning Outcome 2					
Student Learning Outcome						
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct: Capstone research paper					
	All students in the required Art History program capstone course, the Art History Seminar (ART 494), wrote a 3,000 – 3,500 word research paper, using correct citations, on a topic they selected from areas covered by the course, in which they analyzed and incorporated major art historical movements and theories. To evaluate SLO 2, students were evaluated on their grammar and prose style.					
Criteria for Student Success	Success is defined as 3.5 / 5 or higher on this outcome.					
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	90%	Percent of Program Achieving Target		71%	
Methods	Papers were stripped of identifying information. All art history majors in the course (N = 7) were assessed by two readers. Evaluation addressed: Does the student practice proper punctuation and spelling? Are tenses aligned? Are sentences well-constructed? Does the student use words that are precisely adequate to the task of communicating a particular idea? SLO was evaluated on a scale of $1 - 5$ by each reader, with a final score as an average of the scores of both readers. Readers were departmental faculty, but not the instructor for the course. Scores between 3.5 and 5 were counted as achieving the target.					
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.					Not Met	
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement. The a	ctions should include a timeline.)			
This SLO represents a more basic in the program we are addressing t		For SLO 1; therefore the success target is high at 9	0%. This year we will work to create a curric	cular survey to	identify where	
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up. If	follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvement	t.)		
After identifying where and how v	ve are addressing t	his SLO, we will see if there are curricular avenue	s to address that will enable students to develo	op these skills a	t a higher rate.	