Assuran	ice of Student Learning 2019-2020
Gordon Ford College of Business	Finance
Bu	siness Finance 664

Use this pag	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed informat	tion must b	e completed
	in the subsequent pages.		
Student Lean	rning Outcome 1: Business Finance graduates will demonstrate knowledgeable in principles of corporate finance and investm	nents	
Instrument 1	Direct: Senior Assessment consisting of 20 questions focused on general investment topics and 45 questions focused on general consisting of 20 questions focused on general investment topics and 45 questions focused on general consisting of 20 questions focused on general investment topics and 45 questions focused on general consisting of 20 questions focused on general investment topics and 45 questions focused on general consisting of 20 questions focused on general investment topics and 45 questions focused on general consisting of 20 questions focused on general consisting on general consisting on general co	corporate fina	nce topics
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear the local and glo	rning Outcome 2: Business Finance graduates will demonstrate awareness of ethical issues in business decisions and the implication ball economy.	pact of ethica	l behavior on
Instrument 1	Direct: Essays based on a current ethical issue		
Instrument 2	Indirect: Weekly discussion boards in Senior Assessment. Topics are from current Wall Street Journal articles		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear	rning Outcome 3: Business Finance graduates will demonstrate knowledge in principles of banking and international finance		
Instrument 1	Direct: Assessment consisting of 12 questions focused on general international finance topics and 13 questions focused on general	al banking top	pics
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sur	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		•
	ndicate that the department is meeting one goal and very close to expectations on other SLOs set for the Business Finance program. The	nere is room fo	or improvement

Overall, results indicate that the department is meeting one goal and very close to expectations on other SLOs set for the Business Finance program. There is room for improvement. This year's assessment resulted in the following recommendations.

- Affirm that material is covered extensively through the curriculum
- Review results with faculty to ensure appropriate measures are included on senior assessments
- Develop a custom rubric for evaluating artifacts for assessing ethical awareness rather than using the GFCB generic rubric for ethical/legal awareness
- Encourage more participation in ethical discussions
- Evaluate questions included in the artifact assessing investment and corporate finance knowledge using item analysis from Blackboard
- Evaluate questions included in the artifact assessing banking and international finance knowledge using item analysis from Blackboard
- Consider adding certification requirement in the curriculum
 - o Ethical Leadership available from NASBA
 - o Investment Foundations available from the CFA institute
 - o Microsoft Excel available from Microsoft
 - o Certificate in Data Analytics available from WKU
 - o Certificate in Advanced Professionalism available from WKU

		St	tudent Learr	ning Outcome	1	
Student Learning Outcome	Business Finance graduat	es will den	nonstrate know	ledgeable in princi	iples of corporate finance and investme	nts
Measurement Instrument 1	knowledge in the investm investment topics prior to	ents and 45 this assess	5 questions focu ment through re	used on general con equired courses (F	ent class take an exam with 20 questions rporate finance topics. Students are exported finance (SIN 330, 332, 439/449). The remainder of and assessed over these topics through the state of the state o	sed to and assessed over of the assessment focuses on
Criteria for Student Success	Ideally, all graduates will Finance majors to score 7				the 65 questions. The department expects	at least 80% of Business
Program Success Target for this					Percent of Program Achieving Target	77.1%
Methods	Assessment course the last results for the 2018-2019 Fall 2019 Mean Median Mode Minimum Maximum Count	70.771 72.3095 78.4635 53.8475 83.079	of coursework. Spr Mean Median Mode Minimum Maximum Count	38 students took t below. ring 2020 77.64 78.463 76.92 43.07 92.3	35 25 78	

		Count		47			
Based on your results, circle or h	nighlight whe	ether the program m	et the goal S	Student Learning Out	come 1.	Met	Not Met

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

Artifacts used in assessing knowledge for graduating seniors were reviewed in January 2020. The review included: 1) the appropriateness of questions – whether exam questions remain relevant and important knowledge in the Finance field, 2) assurance that important topics are included in the assessment artifact, 3) assurance that coverage of assessment topics are woven throughout and sufficiently covered in the business finance curriculum. Department meetings are scheduled two times during an academic year – August and January before classes start for the Fall and Spring semesters. Reviews are part of the department meeting agendas each time. During the most recent evaluation of questions, two topic areas continuously result in lower results - stock valuation (Investment topic) and capital structure (Corporate topic). These two areas had average scores below 70%.

This analysis was used to ensure sufficient course coverage of problematic material. In addition, finance faculty reviewed all questions on which students underperformed. After this review, 3 questions were more precisely reviewed. One question was replaced because the topic is no longer stressed in the industry, one was reworded for clarity, and one was retained without change. Performance on the two questions were no longer in the consistently low performance category.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Two questions on the spring exam were missed by more than 50% of students. These questions will be evaluated in August 2020 with any changes implemented in Fall 2020. Both questions are in the corporate finance area. The capstone course is taught in the Fall semester. Results should be apparent in Fall 2020 and Spring 2021 results.

			Student	Learning Outcom	me 2	
Student Learning Outcome			ill demonstrate	e awareness of ethica	al issues in business decisions and the impact	of ethical behavior on the
M 1	local and global	•	1 1 :	41 C A	and along using the Condan Foud Callege of Du	-i
Measurement Instrument 1					ent class using the Gordon Ford College of Bu eristics: 1) Students will recognize legal issues	
					rise in different business situations. In measur	
				the highest possible of		
Criteria for Student Success					f the need for ethical behavior when dealing we eristics of ethical behavior.	ith the management of
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	90% earn gr	eater than 3		Percent of Program Achieving Target	89.1%
	_	Joyo carri gi	cater than 5			074170
Methods	20)19/2020				
	Recogni	ition	Solu	itions		
	Mean	3.836957	Mean	3.88045		
	Median	4	Median	4		
	Mode	5	Mode	5		
	Range	4	Range	4		
	Min	1	Min	1		
	Max	5	Max	5		
	Count	46	Count	46		
Measurement Instrument 2					boards in Senior Assessment. Topics are from	
					veryone has access to everyone else's response article, and, therefore, students earned full-cred	
					now to address ethical issues in Finance. Week	
	to 'practice' for	the final essay	assessment us	sed as Instrument 1. E	Ethical topics are included and woven through	
Criteria for Student Success					re 9 discussion boards during the semester. o 7 of 9 discussion boards during the semester	
Criteria for Student Success	Dusiness I mane	ce majors are e	Apecied to mar	ce substantive posts to	o 7 of 7 discussion boards during the semester	•
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	90% of gradu boards	ates post to 70	% of discussion	Percent of Program Achieving Target	85.42%
Methods	Discussio	n Board	-			
	Partici _l	pation	_			
	Fall 2019/S	pring 2020	_			
	Mean		84.09%			
	Median		90%			
	Mode		1			
	Range		88.88%			

M	linimum 11.11%	
M	laximum 100%	
Cc	ount 47	
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.

Met

Not Met

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

The above summary statistics indicate that students who participate in weekly discussion boards provide quality responses. The most common grade on discussions is full credit (mode).

A large proportion of graduating seniors took part in weekly discussion boards and participation is higher than it has been in the past. Course requirements were changed in Fall 2019 to provide more incentive tor participate. It is important for students to take part in this exercise so that they receive feedback over the semester in preparation for an embedded question on an end-of=semester assessment.

Performance on the embedded ethics question has been relatively high, but a few performances in Spring 2020 were disappointing. These results brought down statistics for the year. This might have been partly a result of the unusual pandemic semester. Students may have been more focused on other matters.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

The senior assessment professor is aware that the assessment goal was not met and will monitor student activity on discussion boards more closely. She will reach out to students with reminders more often. Improvement should be observed for the first time in Fall 2020 and continue into Spring 2021. She will emphasize the expectation and need for ethical behavior in the finance profession. The professor will also share results with other professors in the department at the August 2020 department meeting to ensure proper ethical behavior is being covered and assessed in the finance curriculum.

			Student Learning Outcom	ne 3	
Student Learning Outcome	Business Finance	e graduates w	rill demonstrate knowledge in princip	les of banking and international finance	
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct: An a sserbanking topics	essment consist	ting of 12 questions focused on gener	ral international finance topics and 13 questi-	ons focused on general
Criteria for Student Success	international fina	ance). 80% of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	well as earn a 60% or better on the two areas make a score of 60% or higher on the two s	,
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	80% Overall	1	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% overall
		80% Bankin	ng section		97.8% Banking
		80% Interna	tional section		91.1% International
Methods					
	Business Man	nagement			
	2019/2	2020			
	Mean		85.778		
	Median		88		
	Mode		92		

Minimur	n	58	
Maximu	m	100	
Count		47	
Bankin	g Results		ational rults
Mean	84.78%	Mean	77.83%
Median	84.62%	Median	76.92%
Mode	92.31%	Mode	91.66%
Min	53.84%	Min	38.46%
Max	92.30%	Max	100%
Count	47	Count	47

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.

Met

Not Met

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

Students are performing to expectations. Results for 2019/2020 will be reviewed at the August 2020 department meeting. At that time artifacts will be reviewed. Reviews include an investigation of: 1) the appropriateness of questions – whether exam questions remain relevant and important knowledge in the Finance field, 2) insurance that important topics are included in the assessment artifact, 3) insurance that coverage of assessment topics are woven throughout and sufficiently covered in the business finance curriculum. Expectations were met for this objective. 82.2% of all students earned in excess of 80% on the assessment. These results hold for the two parts to the exam as well although students did better on the Banking section than on the International Finance section. 80% of students earned 80% or higher on the Banking section, and 78% of all students earned 70% or better on the International Finance section.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

While students met expectations, students consistently score less than 60% on 3 questions in the artifact. All three questions pertain to international finance. These 3 questions were initially identified in June 2020. The professor teaching the International finance class said there could be a timing problem with when the assessment is given during the spring semester. Material for two of the questions is not covered until late in the semester and may not have been covered before the assessment was administered. The assessment professor will schedule the assessment later in the spring semester going forward. International finance is offered only during the spring semester. Fall semester sections of the assessment class are expected to perform better than spring graduates. This is not what we observe. Students in both the fall and spring classes performed about the same. The International professor will review the questions and make a recommendation for the future.