| Assurance | ce of Student Learning 2018-2019 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Gordon Ford College of Business | Accounting Department |
| Bachelor | of Science in Accounting |

| Use this page | e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information in the subsequent pages. | ion must be | e completed |
|---------------------|---|--------------|-------------|
| Student Lear | rning Outcome 1: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the basic technical skills and knowled | lge necessar | ry for an |
| | ecounting position. | S | • |
| a. Demo | onstrate an understanding of the basic function of each financial statement and the relevant classifications used therein. | | |
| | onstrate an understanding of the accrual basis of accounting and related topics. | | |
| | onstrate an understanding of the systems for accounting information and the skills for data management and analysis. | | |
| | onstrate an understanding of the management accountant's use of accounting information and basic cost concepts. | | |
| | onstrate an understanding of the sources of tax law and the application of these laws in the calculation of an individual's tax liabili | ty. | |
| g. Demo | onstrate knowledge of the audit and assurance processes and an understanding of professional ethical behavior. | | |
| Instrument 1 | Senior-level Exit Exam covering technical skills administered during final semester before graduation | | |
| Instrument 2 | Senior Exit Surveys administered during final semester | | |
| Instrument 3 | Results of Uniform CPA Exam | | |
| Based on your i | results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1. | Met | Not Met |
| Student Lear | rning Outcome 2: Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills. | | |
| Instrument 1 | Mock interviews conducted during the Senior Assessment course video-taped and evaluated using a department-approved | rubric | |
| Instrument 2 | | | |
| Instrument 3 | | | |
| Based on your i | results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. | Met | Not Met |
| Student Lear | ning Outcome 3: Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills. | | |
| Instrument 1 | Writing samples collected from students during the Senior Assessment course and evaluated using a department-approved | l rubric | |
| Instrument 2 | | | |
| Instrument 3 | | | |
| Based on your i | results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. | Met | Not Met |

Student Learning Outcome 4: Students should be able to demonstrate a (an): a) knowledge of business statistical techniques; b) understanding of analytics related to data modeling, data management, predictive analytics, enterprise risk management, and social mining; c) comprehension of basic information management related to relational databases

Instrument 1 | Case study completed during the Senior Assessment course and evaluated using a rubric specifically designed to assess the students' mastery of data analytics

Instrument 2 | Instrument 3 | Sased on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 4. | Met Not Met

Program Summary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)

Historically weak areas in the curricula (Accrual-basis Accounting and Cash Flow Concepts) have been addressed by increasing the credit hours in the Intermediate Accounting sequence. Early results demonstrate that the change has been a success. The department will continue to monitor student progress in this area. Other curricular areas that indicate some weakness are being addressed with additional assignments in those areas (Managerial Accounting).

The department is changing the focus in evaluating oral communication skills. Students have consistently performed at or above the success targets for the mock interview assessment. Beginning in the Spring 2020 semester, the department will be assessing oral presentation skills.

The department will review the success targets for written communication skills, as students have been consistently meeting targets in prior years.

The department will reconsider its approach to Data Analytics as new faculty positions are filled.

| | | Student Learning Outcom | ne 1 | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Student Learning Outcome | Students will | demonstrate an understanding of the b | asic technical skills and knowledge | necessary for an entry | |
| | level account | | | | |
| | | onstrate an understanding of the basic function of | | lassifications used therein. | |
| | | onstrate an understanding of the accrual basis of c | | | |
| | | onstrate an understanding of the systems for acco | | | |
| | | onstrate an understanding of the management acc | | • | |
| | | e. Demonstrate an understanding of the sources of tax law and the application of these laws in the calculation of an individual's tax liability. | | | |
| | | y. onstrate knowledge of the audit and assurance pro | ocesses and an understandina of professions | al ethical behavior. | |
| | J - | | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | | or Exit Exam: The department completely revise | | | |
| | | ives. The faculty was asked to submit questions | | | |
| | | urses. After a period for faculty comments and ouring the Spring 2019 semester. Topical coverag | | | |
| | | e Taxation; Intermediate I, II, and III topics; Ma | | | |
| | T cuci ui income | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | amageriar recounting, and cash rrow con | ССРИЯ | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | 70% on overall e | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | 70% overall and 70% on each topical area | Percent of Program Achieving Target | 92.9% (39) of students | |
| | | | | taking the exam scored 70% | |
| | | | | or higher on the overall exam. | |
| Methods | A 60-question, r | nultiple-choice exam covering major topics covered | d throughout the curriculum is administered | | |
| | | graduate program. 42 students took the new exam in | | | |
| | on the entire exa | um. All topical areas exceeded 70% except for the I | ntermediate I area (44%) and the Manageria | l Accounting area (69%). | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 2 | INDIRECT: St | udent surveys | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | No criteria | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Massurament | Informational purposes only | Percent of Program Achieving Target | N/A | |
| 1 Togram Success Target for this | o ivicasui ciliciit | informational purposes only | 1 creent of 1 rogram Acmeving rarget | 1 1/A | |
| Methods | | ete an exit survey of the Accounting program in the | | | |
| | are ranked on a | 5-point Likert scale with "5" corresponding to "Sig | mificant Contribution" and "1" corresponding | | |
| | sample of 41 sur | eveys collected during the 2018-19 academic year g | gave the following results: | | |
| | 1 | | | | |

| | | <u>5</u> | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
|--|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Intermediate I | 90.24% | $7.\overline{3}2\%$ | 2.44% | _ | - | | |
| | Intermediate II | 82.93% | 9.76% | 7.32% | | | | |
| | Intermediate III | 36.59% | 17.07% | 29.27% | 14.63% | 2.44% | | |
| | Managerial/Cost Accounting | 31.71% | 46.34% | 19.51% | 2.44% | 2,0 | | |
| | | | | 29.27% | 12.20% | | | |
| | Federal Income Taxation-Individual | 26.83% 73.17% | 31.71% 14.63% | 9.76% | 12.2070 | 2.44% | | |
| | | | 29.27% | 4.88% | 2.440/ | 2.4470 | | |
| | Auditing and Assurance Services | 63.41% | 29.21% | 4.00% | 2.44% | | | |
| Nf. 47 4 42 | INDIDECT. D | 4 4 T | <u> </u> | _ | | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 3 | INDIRECT: Results of Uniform Certified Public A | ccountant E | examination | n | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | 70% on four separate parts of the national examination | n | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | S Measurement N/A – Informational only | | Percent | of Progran | n Achieving | g Target | N/A | A |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Methods | Students may choose to sit for the CPA exam after red | eiving a Bac | helor's deg | ree that incl | ludes 39 hor | urs of busi | ness, of which 27 | 7 hours are in |
| | accounting. The results of the nationwide exam were | obtained from | n NASBA f | or 2018. Si | xty students | from Wes | stern Kentucky U | Iniversity sat |
| | for at least one part of the exam during the calendar ye | ear. WKU sti | idents' pass | rate ranked | d 31st in the | nation out | of 278 institution | ns with 21- |
| | 60 candidates sitting for the exam. The pass rate for V | | | | | | | |
| WKU candidates taking the exam was 67.3%. The national average was around 50% for both measures. | | | | | | | | |
| Based on your results, circle or | Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student | | | | | | Mot | |
| J | 6 6 | - | | | | | (Met) | Not Met |

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

Historically, results from the senior exit exams indicate sub-par performance in the areas of "Cash Flow" and "Accrual-basis Concepts." A major curricular change occurred in Fall 2017 to address these issues. The Intermediate Accounting sequence consisted of 7 credit hours over 2 courses. Beginning Fall 2017, the Intermediate Accounting sequence changed to 9 credit hours spread over 3 courses. Students taking the Spring 2019 exit exam are the first students to have completed the new curriculum. The following comments pertain to these results.

- The new exit exam includes four questions that specifically test the accrual basis/accounting cycle. Expanding the Intermediate Accounting sequence allows more time for review of these basic concepts at the beginning of Intermediate I (ACCT 300). In addition, two accounting cycle projects have been incorporated into Intermediate II (ACCT 301). Three of the four questions testing this concept scored in the acceptable range during the Spring 2019 semester.
- The Statement of Cash Flow was given significantly more coverage in the new sequence. This topic is covered in each of the three courses, with increasing depth in each succeeding course. Two additional cash flow assignments have been added to the curriculum.

The Managerial/Cost accounting course now contains more case studies providing the opportunity for synthesis of information and examination of complex issues.

Significant curricular and faculty changes are occurring in Intermediate III in the Fall 2020 semester.

Follow-Up: (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

The Spring 2019 exit exam demonstrated student improvement in the prior weak areas: Accrual-basis (82.7%) and Cash Flow Statement (81.7%). Performance on the Managerial Accounting questions improved to 77.8% in the Fall 2019 semester.

| | | Student Learning Outcom | ne 2 | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|---------|
| Student Learning Outcome | Students will | demonstrate effective oral communicat | ion skills. | | |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | DIRECT: Moc | k interviews conducted during the Senior Assess | sment course. | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | | pate in mock interviews for a fictional company. The sessment are "Distinguished," "Proficient," and "North Ef. | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | | At least 70% of the accounting graduates' interviews will rate at a distinguished or proficient level for each of the following criteria: professional dress, preparation, coherence and organization, vocal presentation, and presentation style. | Percent of Program Achieving Target | | 100% |
| Methods | style. Students a outcome was no | es for the assessment of professional dress, preparative evaluated using a "distinguished," "proficient," at evaluated in the 2018-19 academic year. The last 5 students assessed scored in the "distinguished" or | and "novice" classification for each of these assessment was in Fall 2017, and the next as | criteria. This lea | rning |
| Measurement Instrument 2 | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | | Percent of Program Achieving Target | | |
| Methods | | | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 3 | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | | Percent of Program Achieving Target | | |
| Methods | | | | | |
| Based on your results, circle or l | nighlight whether | the program met the goal Student Learning O | utcome 2. | Met | Not Met |

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

The Mock Interviews will no longer be used for assessment purposes beginning Spring 2020. Students will be assessed in professional presentations during MGT 498 or MGT 496 (GFCB capstone classes required for all Accounting graduates and most other majors in the college). Historically, students have met the criteria for acceptable oral skills in an interview. The department is moving to assessing a different type of oral communication to investigate student mastery of oral presentations.

However, the department values the importance of the mock interview, and they will become required for all Accounting majors during the Intermediate II course. The timing of this mock interview should be of greater benefit to the students as they will get to practice interviewing BEFORE applying for internships and jobs.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Assessment of the first oral presentations will begin Spring 2020.

| | | Student Learning Outcon | ne 3 | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Student Learning Outcome | Students will | demonstrate effective written commun | ication skills. | |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | DIRECT: Sam | ples of written work assigned during students' f | inal semester. | |
| Criteria for Student Success | presentations att GFCB or a profe department. Wri | uired to attend a specified number of professional pended. One summary from each student is used to essor not teaching the ACCT 499 course evaluates ting summaries are evaluated in the following cate of assessment are "Distinguished," "Proficient," and | assess writing skills. Either the Communicate the writing skills of each student using a rube gories: Content, Coherence and Organization | ions Coordinator for the ric approved by the |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | At least 70% of accounting graduates' written summaries will be rated at a distinguished or proficient level in all areas listed above. | Percent of Program Achieving Target | Content: 96% Coherence/Org: 78% Language: 81% Format: 92% |
| Methods | "distinguished," | "proficient," and "novice" classification for each of sing a sample of 27 students' written summaries. Earget was met. | of these criteria. This learning outcome was e | evaluated for the 2018-19 |
| Measurement Instrument 2 | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | | Percent of Program Achieving Target | |
| Methods | | , | | |

| Measurement Instrument 3 | | | | |
|--|------------------|---|-------|---------|
| Criteria for Student Success | | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | Percent of Program Achieving Target | | |
| Methods | | | | |
| Based on your results, circle or hi | ighlight whethe | er the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. | Met | Not Met |
| Actions (Describe the decision-mal | king process and | l actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) | | |
| Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) Given the historically strong results in this category, the department will review the "program success target" in the Spring 2020 semester to determine whether the target should be increased. | | | | |
| Follow-Up (Provide your timeline | for follow-up. I | f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improveme | ent.) | |
| | | | | |

| | | Student Learning Outcom | ne 4 | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|--|
| Student Learning Outcome | | uld be able to demonstrate a (an): a) kn | • | - ' ' | | |
| | | derstanding of analytics related to data modeling, data management, predictive analytics, enterprise risk | | | | |
| | | nanagement, and social mining; c) comprehension of basic information management related to relational | | | | |
| | databases | | | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 1 | DIRECT: Data | Analytics case assigned during students' final s | emester. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | 6 cases were ass | cs project was given to accounting seniors during the essed using the rubric designed for the project. Associated as Distinguished. Areas evaluated include Data | sessment was made using a scale with 5-6 rate | ed as Novice, 7-8 as | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | At least 70% of the data analytics projects will have a rating of distinguished or proficient in all areas listed above. | Percent of Program Achieving Target | 83% | | |
| Methods | • | ng EDGAR and Excel was completed by students a AIS/Analytics professor. | in the Senior Assessment course. A sample of | f the students' work was | | |

| Measurement Instrument 2 | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Criteria for Student Success | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | Percent of Program Achieving Target | |
| Methods | | | |
| Measurement Instrument 3 | | | |
| Criteria for Student Success | | | |
| Program Success Target for this | Measurement | Percent of Program Achieving Target | |
| Methods | | | _ |
| | | | |
| Based on your results, circle or l | nighlight whether the program met the goa | Student Learning Outcome 4. | Met Not Met |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | I Student Learning Outcome 4. n improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) | Met Not Met |
| Actions (Describe the decision-ma The department is in the process of instruction in the Accounting Info Professors are encouraged to incor | aking process and actions planned for program f determining the most effective approach for ormation Systems course, with many of then property data analytics assignments and project | in improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) ensuring adequate coverage of data analytics in the undergraduate cure opting to increase their exposure to data analytics by taking Busins in their individual courses when and where appropriate. | arriculum. All students receive iness Data Analytics courses. |
| Actions (Describe the decision-ma The department is in the process of instruction in the Accounting Info Professors are encouraged to incor Follow-Up (Provide your timeline | aking process and actions planned for program determining the most effective approach for promation Systems course, with many of then prorate data analytics assignments and project of for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, de | n improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) ensuring adequate coverage of data analytics in the undergraduate cu n opting to increase their exposure to data analytics by taking Busi | urriculum. All students receive iness Data Analytics courses. |