		Assurance of Student Learning Report		
C 11 CXX 1	1 111 0 '	2022-2023		
	h and Human Services	Department of Public Health		
	cate in Environmental Health and	1 Safety (EHS, 0427)		
Edrisa Sanyang				
Is this an onli	ne program? 🛚 Yes 🗌 No	Please make sure the Program Learning Outcomes listed match those in CourseLeaf. Inc Yes, they match! (If they don't match, explain on this page under Assessment Cycle)		ation here
Use this nage to	list learning outcomes measure	ements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be completed in the	e subseauent	nages Add
more Outcomes	g ,	ments, and summarize results for your programs. Detailed information must be completed in the	, subsequent p	, ages. 11aa
		op insight into environmental and occupational health exposures and apply appropriate solutions to	assess and red	duce these
Instrument 1	Hazard analysis and risk assess	ment.		
Instrument 2				
Instrument 3				
Based on your	results, check whether the prog	ram met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Program Stude	nt Learning Outcome 2: Analys	se data, interpret results, and present the results in writing.		
Instrument 1	Environmental toxicology data	analysis report.		
Instrument 2				
Instrument 3				
Based on your	results, check whether the prog	ram met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Program Stude	nt Learning Outcome 3: Comm	unicate environmental health risks and exchange information through public speaking, written repo	orts, and inter	personal skills.
Instrument 1	Environmental health term pape			
Instrument 2				
Instrument 3				
Based on your	results, check whether the prog	ram met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Assessment Cyc	cle Plan:			•
All program stude and Safety will s	lent learning outcomes for 2022-tart implementation of a revised p	2023 academic year has been assessed and met. In 2023-2024 academic year, the Gradudate Certification or with EOHS 502 and EOHS 550 as new core required courses. The program name will also clien with the emphasis of the core required courses. SLO 2 will change in 2023-2024 academic	change to Grad	duate Certificate

in Occupational Safety and Health (0427), to align with the emphasis of the core required courses. SLO 2 will change in 2023-2024 academic year to focus on designing comprehensive, intergrated programs in workplace health protection and health promotion to address priority safety and health issues. EOHS 502 - Health Promotion in the Workplace will be used to develop this skill in the students and assess the SLO (please see attached, the revised curriculum map).

		Program Student Learning	Outcome 1			
Program Student Learning Outcome	Develop insight	Develop insight into environmental and occupational health exposures and apply appropriate solutions to assess and reduce these exposures.				
Measurement Instrument 1	risk assessment assessment requ	Direct: Students in EOHS 550 Principles of Occupational Safety and Health were required to complete a comprehensive hazard analysis and isk assessment for a workplace hazard. Students developed a spreadsheet to review and rate the hazards and assign risks. The risk assessment required analysis of potential routes of exposure, creation of a risk decision tree, and development of a control strategy to eliminate and manage the hazards. To assess SLO 1 the "Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment Rubric" was used to score the assignment for each student.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students should SLO 1.	score "Competent" or greater on the "Hazard A	nalysis and Risk Assessment R	ubric" for each learning outcome to meet		
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	75%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100%		
Methods	Analysis and Ri student's paper "Competent – 5" A total score of "Competent" or	s from the EOHS 550 Principles of Occupational sk Assessment exercise was evaluated according was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the scored from 1 to 4 on each of the scored	g to the "Hazard Analysis and R he rubric. Scores represented the lete – 0" (60-69). SLO 1 was ass e "Competent" performance on	Lisk Assessment Rubric" (Appendix 1). The e following ranges "Proficient – 6" (90-100), sessed based on the total score for the rubric. the exercise. The student student scored		
Measurement Instrument 2		her measures of assessment for SLO 1? If so, ple on and move on to " whether the program n				
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target			
Methods						
Measurement Instrument 3		ner measures of assessment for SLO 1? If so, ple on and move on to " whether the program n				
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target			
Methods						
Based on your results, highlight	whether the prog	gram met the goal Student Learning Outcom	e 1.			

Results, Conclusion, and Plans for Next Assessment Cycle (Describe what worked, what didn't, and plan going forward)

<u>Results</u>: The results is as expected. The Graudate Certificate in Environmental Health and Safety has a small number of students who are either looking into transitioning to the MS in EOHS or need additional skills to add to their major like those in the MPH program. Therefore, they are motivated to do well in the program.

<u>Conclusions</u>: The assessment method for this important program student learning outcome seems to be working well.

Plans for Next Assessment Cycle: There is no planned changesfor this SLO. EOHS 550 will still be used to assess the SLO.

		Program Student Learnin	ng Outcome 2			
Program Student Learning Outcome	Design compreh	Design comprehensive, integrated programs in workplace health protection and health promotion to address priority safety and health issues.				
Measurement Instrument 1	present the resul software of their was used to asse	Pirect : Students in EOHS 577 Environmental Toxicology were required to complete an analysis of an environmental toxicology data set, resent the results, discuss the results, and write a technical repport based on the analysis. Students applied Microsoft Excel and a statistical oftware of their choice to develop, organize, and analyze the dataset. The "Environmental Toxicology Data Report Rubric" (Appendix 2) was used to assess SLO 2.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students should	score "Competent" or greater				
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	75%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100%		
Methods	Data Report exer was scored from "Competent – 0"	Direct: Artifacts from the EOHS 577 Environmental Toxicology were collected from all students ($N = 5$). The Environmental Toxicology Data Report exercise was evaluated according to the "Environmental Toxicology Data Report Rubric" (Appendix 2). Each student report was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the learning outcomes in the rubric. Scores represented the following ranges "Proficient – 5" (90-100), "Competent – 0" (80-89), "Novice – 0" (70-79), and "Incoomplete – 0" (60-69). SLO 2 was assessed based on the total score for the rubric. A total score of 80% or greater on the rubric would indicate "Competent" performance on the exercise. All the 5 students scored				
Measurement Instrument 2						
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this	Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target			
Methods						
Measurement Instrument 3						
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this	Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target			
Methods						

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
Results, Conclusion, and Plans for Next Assessment Cycle (Describe what worked, what didn't, and plan going forward)		
Results : The results are what is expected. However, EOHS program faculty and Advisory Board reviewed the SLO, change to new comprehensive, integrated programs in workplace health protection and health promotion to address priority safety and health issues. This S with a graduate certificate in our field.		
<u>Conclusions</u> : The assessment method for this important program student learning outcome seems to be working well. However, the SLC needs for the graduate certificate in occupational health and safety.	O will be changed t	o align to industry
Plans for Next Assessment Cycle: By the next assessment cycle, the new core required course (EOHS 502) will be implemented. The SL	O will be assessed	with a new rubric.

		Program Student 1	Learning Outco	ome 3		
Program Student Learning	Communicate er	ommunicate environmental health risks and exchange information through public speaking, written reports, and interpersonal skills.				
Outcome						
Measurement Instrument 1	term paper that r Students develop assessment, and individuals and p	Direct: Students in PH 584 Principles of Environmental Health, a core required course, were required to complete a comprehensive written paper that requires synthesis of environmental and occupational health and safety information from the US Healthy People Initiative. Students developed a comprehensive report including information and data systhesis, critique of related-policies, program outcome ssessment, and provide conclusions and recommendations. The Term Paper is then orally presentated to colleagues students as lay individuals and professionals. To assess SLO 3 the "Environmental Health Term Paper and Presentation Rubric" was used to score the ssignment for each student.				
Criteria for Student Success	G. 1 . 1 . 11	((0)				
		score "Competent" or greater			1000	
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	75%	Po	ercent of Program Achieving Target	100%	
Methods	Health Term Par rubric. Scores re 0" (60-69). SLO	Direct : Artifacts from the course were collected from the students (N = 4). The papers were evaluated according to both the Environmental Health Term Paper Rubric and Presentation Rubric (Appendix 3). Each student paper was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the rubric. Scores represented the following ranges "Proficient - 4" (90-100), "Competent - 5" (80-89), "Novice - 0" (70-79), and "Incomplete - 0" (60-69). SLO 3 was assessed based on the total score for the rubric. A total score of 80% or greater on the rubric would indicate "Competent" performance on both the Term Paper and the Oral Presentation. All 26 students scored "Competent" or greater for SLO 3.				
Measurement Instrument 2		-				
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this Measurement			Po	ercent of Program Achieving Target		
Methods			,	<u> </u>		
Measurement Instrument 3						

Criteria for Student Success					
			,		
Program Success Target for this	Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving		
			Target		
Methods					
Based on your results, circle or h	nighlight wheth	er the program met the goal Student Learning C	Outcome 3.	⊠ Met	☐ Not Met
				≥ Met	Not Met
Results, Conclusion, and Plans fo	or Next Assessn	nent Cycle (Describe what worked, what didn't,	and plan going forward)		
Results : The results is what is exp	ected. Students i	n graduate certificate did well in the SLO as expec	ted of graduate level course.		
Conclusions : The assessment met	Conclusions : The assessment method seems to be working well and there is need for modifications at this point.				
Plans for Next Assessment Cycle	: There is no pla	nned change for this SLO.			

CURRICULUM MAP – Graduate Certificate (GC) in Environmental Health and Safety

Program Name	Graduate Certificate (GC) in Environmental Health and Safety (EHS, 0427)
Department	Public Health
College	Health and Human Services
Contact Person:	Edrisa Sanyang
Email:	edrisa.sanyang@wku.edu

KEY:

 $\overline{I = Int}$ roduced

R = Reinforced/Developed

M = Mastered

A = Assesse

A = Assessed			Pro	ogram Student Learning Outcomes	1
			L01	LO2	LO3
			Develop insight into environmental & occupational health exposures & apply appropriate solutions to assess and reduce these exposures.	Analyze data, interpret results, and present the results in writing	Communicate environmental health risks and exchange information through public speaking, written reports, and interpersonal skills.
Course Subject	Number	Course Title			
EOHS	550	Principles of Occupational Safety & Health	IRMA		IR
EOHS	577	Environmental Toxicology	R	MA	R
PH	584	Principles of Environmental Health	I		MA

NOTE 1: If you have a program with multiple tracks, create a curriculum map for each track in a different sheet/tab, and specify the name of the track in addition to the name of the program.

NOTE 2: Your program may have a component or milestone that is important for your learning outcomes, but that you don't associate with a course number. Examples might include independent/mentored research, qualifying exams, a prospectus, defense, clinical rotations, etc. Alternatively, your program may have several components or milestones that fall under one course number that you would like to differentiate in the curriculum map. Feel free to add those details to the curriculum map in order to represent those learning opportunities (Please omit optional extracurricular activities).

REVISED CURRICULUM MAP - Graduate Certificate (GC) in Occupational Safety and Health

Program Name	Graduate Certificate (GC) in Occupational Safety and Health (OSH, 0427)
Department	Public Health
College	Health and Human Services
Contact Person:	Edrisa Sanyang
Email:	edrisa.sanyang@wku.edu

KEY:

 $\overline{I = Int}$ roduced

R = Reinforced/Developed

M = Mastered

A = Assessed

				Program Student Learning Outcomes	
			LO1	LO2	LO3
			Develop insight into environmental & occupational health exposures & apply appropriate solutions to assess and reduce these exposures.	Design comprehensive, integrated programs in workplace health protection and health promotion to address priority safety and health issues.	Communicate environmental health risks and exchange information through public speaking, written reports, and interpersonal skills.
Course Subject	Number	Course Title			
EOHS	502	Health Promotion in the Workplace	R	IRMA	R
EOHS	550	Principles of Occupational Safety & Health	IRMA	R	IR
PH	584	Principles of Environmental Health	I	IR	MA

NOTE 1: If you have a program with multiple tracks, create a curriculum map for each track in a different sheet/tab, and specify the name of the track in addition to the name of the program.

NOTE 2: Your program may have a component or milestone that is important for your learning outcomes, but that you don't associate with a course number. Examples might include independent/mentored research, qualifying exams, a prospectus, defense, clinical rotations, etc. Alternatively, your program may have several components or milestones that fall under one course number that you would like to differentiate in the curriculum map. Feel free to add those details to the curriculum map in order to represent those learning opportunities (Please omit optional extracurricular activities).

Appendix 1: Hazard Analysis and Risk Assessment Rubric

Learning Outcomes	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Novice - 2	Incomplete - 1	Score
Identify and assess the hazard	The hazard was identified and explained. An explanation was provided that detailed the hazard type and impacts of exposure.	The hazard was identified and explained. An explanation was provided that listed the hazard type and an impact of exposure.	The hazard was identified. The explanation was limited and provided the hazard type and listed some potential impacts.	The hazard was identified.	
Assess the potential routes of entry	Routes of entry were evaluated based on the hazard and the workplace conditions. The evaluation investigated how the hazard was created and the exposure pathways.	Routes of entry were evaluated based on the hazard and the workplace conditions. The evaluation discussed the exposure pathways.	Routes of entry were described based on the hazard and the workplace conditions. The evaluation listed the exposure pathways.	Routes of entry were listed based on the hazard and the workplace conditions.	
Develop a risk assessment	A risk assessment was created based on severity, frequency, possibility, and likelihood. The risk assessment was accurate without errors.	A risk assessment was created based on severity, frequency, possibility, and likelihood. The risk assessment was accurate minimal errors.	A risk assessment was created based on severity, frequency, possibility, and likelihood. The risk assessment had several errors.	A risk assessment was incomplete based on severity, frequency, possibility, and likelihood. The risk assessment if attempted had many errors.	
Create a risk assessment decision tree for hazard reduction	Management of the hazard was developed through a risk assessment decision tree. The decision tree detailed the elimination of the hazard. A thorough justification and discussion was provided.	Management of the hazard was developed through a risk assessment decision tree. The decision tree detailed the reduction of the hazard. A discussion was provided.	Management of the hazard was attempted through a risk assessment decision tree. The decision tree was not clear on how the hazard would be reduced.	The decision tree was incomplete. The student did not provide an indication that the hazard would be reduced.	
Develop a control strategy or method	A control strategy was explained and applied to the workplace hazard. A clear method was developed that would eliminate the hazard and potential exposures.	A control strategy was applied to the workplace hazard. A method was shown that would reduce the hazard and potential exposures.	A control strategy was described for the workplace hazard. A method was listed to reduce the hazard.	A control strategy was listed for the workplace hazard.	

Appendix 2: Environmental Toxicology Data Report Rubric

Learning Outcomes	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Novice - 2	Incomplete - 1	Score
Develop background on the problem	A background analysis of the environmental toxicology problem was developed and thoroughly discussed. The student developed a detailed research question.	A background analysis of the environmental toxicology problem was developed and discussed. The student developed a research question.	A background analysis of the environmental toxicology problem was discussed.	A background analysis of the environmental toxicology problem was insufficient.	
Explanation of the dataset and methods of data analysis	Environmental toxicology dataset was explained. The methods for data analysis were correct and constructed for each step of the analysis.	Environmental toxicology dataset was explained. The methods for data correctly discussed.	Environmental toxicology dataset was described. The methods for data analysis were incorrectly discussed.	Environmental toxicology dataset was described.	
Results	Results of the analysis were presented as a series of tables and graphs. Tables and graphs were correctly formatted and complete. The analysis had no errors. Tables and graphs were described.	Results of the analysis were presented as a series of tables and graphs. Tables and graphs were correctly formatted and complete. The analysis had few errors. Tables and graphs were described.	Results were presented as a series of tables and graphs. Tables and graphs were incorrectly formatted and not complete. The analysis had several errors.	Results were presented as in a few tables and graphs. Tables and graphs were incorrectly formatted and not complete. The analysis had many errors.	
Discussion	A discussion was authored that addressed the research questions. Results were explained and applied to evaluate the environmental toxicology problem.	A discussion was authored that addressed the research questions. Results were explained.	A discussion was authored yet did not address the research questions. Results were not fully explained.	A discussion was authored that did not address the research questions or results.	
Conclusion	Conclusions and recommendations were developed that provided a comprehensive solution to the environmental toxicology problem.	Conclusions and recommendations were discussed that provided a solution to the environmental toxicology problem.	Conclusions and recommendations were presented, but did not provide a solution to the environmental toxicology problem.	A Conclusion was presented, without recommendations, and it did not include a solution to the environmental toxicology problem.	

Appendix 3: Environmental Health Term Paper Rubric

Competencies	Proficient - 4	Competent - 3	Novice - 2	Incomplete - 1	Score
Reflection	Ability to proficiently demonstrate reflection and deep thinking of acquired knowledge and concepts, and integrate them into different issues from a wide range of perspectives (e.g. different contexts, cultures, disciplines, etc.); demonstrate critical thinking skills in writing.	Showing satisfactory ability to relate acquired knowledge to the chosen State's healthy people 2020 initiative; demonstrating attempt to analyze from a number of different perspectives.	Only includes mere description of theoretical knowledge; no reflection is demonstrated beyond description.	No critical analysis of the written report is demonstrated.	
Analysis & Integration	Points well articulates and supported by figures and charts analyzed from secondary data. Ideas /concepts are well articulated with a common 'thread' from beginning to end. Succinct strategy provided coherently supported by data on the chosen objective.	Concepts are generally Connected, and supported by secondary data to show the state of progress made in achieving the chosen objective. Still able to observe how the student develops during the learning process.	Little or no analysis and poorly integrated. No data presented to show the progress made in achieving the chosen objective or goal areas.	No analysis is demonstrated, merely copying and pasting primary source data tables and not fully intergrating in the work.	
Presentation	Slides are professionally prepared with tables, charts and pictures. Coherent flow if information linking different sections of the talk. Presenter manages time efficiently, maintains eye contact with audience, show mastery of slides, and professionalism in handling audience questions.	Presentation professionally prepared with tables, charts, and pictures. Information not well coordinated. Presenter evidently seen struggling to communicate well prepared slides, and audience questions not well handled.	Presentation poorly organized filled with text mostly from the term paper. Presenter uses numerous technical jargons not easily understood by lay audience, mostly reading slides or notes, and audience questions not well handled.	Presenter only reading slides without discussing them.	
Format & Professionalism	Writing is well focused; arguments and perspectives are precisely defined; coherent in developing an insightful idea is demonstrated. Paper well cited using APA referencing format, and few to no typos or grammatical errors.	Arguments and perspectives are clearly stated; some indication of efforts to organize the paper but not deep enough to be very insightful. Paper cited using APA referencing format, and few typos or grammatical errors.	Do not show any original thinking or perspectives; chaotic on organization and presentation of ideas. Paper not cited with many typos and grammatical errors. Abstract not provided.	Basic structure of the paper is not met.	