Assurance of Student Learning 2019-2020				
College of Education and Behavior Sciences	School of Teacher Education			

Master of Arts in Education: Special Education for Teacher Leaders: Learning and Behavior Disorders #0457

Use this pag	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed informa in the subsequent pages.	tion must b	e completed
Student Lear	rning Outcome 1: Graduate students will recognize and incorporate diversity and diverse learning needs while	le planning	curriculum.
Instrument 1	Develop a lesson plan using the explicit teaching model in (SPED 531).		
Instrument 2	Videotape themselves teaching		
Instrument 3	Complete a Reflection Assessment using the KTIP Self-Assessment Task E Evaluation		
Based on your i	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Project is an oproject.	burpose of the Teaching as Inquiry Project is to achieve improved outcomes for all students. Less obviously, borganizing framework that teachers can use to help them learn from their practice and build greater knowledge		
Instrument 1	This instrument in SPED 595 involves four inquiries for candidates to complete in their classrooms: Focusing Inquired How are my students doing in relation to those outcomes now? What do my students need to learn next in order to act Teaching Inquiry: What selected evidence-based teaching strategies support my students to achieve these outcomes? Is student outcomes: Evidence of Progress Monitoring toward the identified outcomes. How are my students doing in reaching the evidence telling me? What happened as a result of your teaching using the strategy(s)? What are the future What are the next steps for learning? Finally, Reflection: What I learned about my everyday classroom practices.	hieve those of Learning Inquestion to the	outcomes? uiry: My ose outcomes?
Instrument 2	Capstone: Using data from the Inquiry Research Project, Candidates develop a presentation that is shared with the class.		

Instrument 3			
			т
Based on your r	<mark>Met</mark>	Not Met	
	ning Outcome 3: All Special Education majors must successfully pass Praxis II tests in Learning and Behavior Diso	rders: Mild to	o Moderate to
complete this p	rogram and to continue to improve and problem solve real-world examples.		
Instrument 1	Praxis II: 5543		
	Special Education : Core Knowledge and Mild to Moderate Applications		
Instrument 2			
Instrument 3			
Based on your r	esults, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sun	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		

The Learning & Behavior Disorders program is in the process of transformation to a singular Master of Special Education Program with three designated pathways, effectively reducing our credits from 43 to 30 in each pathway. This program offers courses and experiences for initial preparation of Exceptional Education teachers and for those seeking advanced preparation. Advanced preparation programs include Professional Learning Communities in which teacher candidates interact with other graduate students from various content areas and grade levels to discuss and work on real world challenges and promising practices they encounter in schools. This concentration is for advanced preparation in LBD for those with prior LBD teacher certification. Within this concentration, the teacher candidate is expected to become competent in theory, research, and application of best teaching practices related to exceptional students with Learning and Behavior Disorders and to exhibit appropriate teacher disposition behaviors as indicated by the College of Education and Behavioral Sciences Teacher Disposition Rating Form. Teacher candidates will complete a professional education component and a specialization component based upon their certification status, educational background, and career goals.

	Student Learning Outcome 1				
Student Learning Outcome	Candidates will recognize and respect diversity and diverse learning needs while planning curriculum				
Measurement Instrument 1	Develop a lesson plan using the explicit teaching model in SPED 531.				
Criteria for Student Success	Approved lesson plan All lesson plans must have the following components: Contextual Information; Measurable Objectives Relationship of Objectives to State Standards; Assessment Plan (including pre-, formative, and post/s EACH objective); Procedures used to teach the lesson; and a reflection about the lesson and student	summative assessments for			
Program Success Target for this	90% or higher on the rubric and on no individual rubric dimension will the average score across all students be less than 80% of all possible points in that dimension. Students are afforded an iterative process allowing growth in any dimension falling below the target and will continue to refine their lesson plans until the target is met.	100% of graduate students successfully completed the lesson plan projects. Graduate students are given multiple opportunities to practice writing lesson plans in order to reach Accomplished (3).			
Methods	This lesson plan is completed by all of our program participants who are required to complete this course. The evaluated based upon the rubric below. Source of Evidence: Lesson Plan Name:	Cycle:			

	to technology, homework, exit or bell ringer slips, readings, etc. Be specific if there is an aide in the classroom a Procedures (1E) Describe the sequence of strategies/activities/assessments that will be used to scaffold instructification facilitate attainment of the lesson objective(s), and promote higher order thinking. Within this sequence, be sure instruction will be differentiated to meet your students' needs, interests, and abilities. 7. Watch For Identification is specifically observed during this lesson	on, engage your students, e to describe how the fy anything that you would			
Measurement Instrument 2	Videotape themselves teaching an explicit lesson plan that they have written for their students with learning disabilities. Students identify learners' needs based on individual learning goals identified from their IEPs. Graduate students then evaluate their own teaching effectiveness using a Self-Assessment Evaluation from the KTIP process.				
Criteria for Student Success	Graduate students videotape themselves teaching their explicit lesson plan. They then evaluate their teachin and prompts in the Self-Assessment Evaluation.	ng based on the components			
Program Success Target for thi	90% or higher on the rubric and on no individual rubric dimension will the average score across all students be less than 80% of all possible points in that dimension. Students are afforded an iterative process allowing growth in any dimension falling below the target and will continue to refine their teaching skills until the target is met.	100% 100% Percentage of program students sampled are desired to achieve the goal. Graduate students are given multiple opportunities to practice teaching in order to reach Accomplished (3).			
Methods					
Measurement Instrument 3	Complete a Reflection Assessment using the KTIP Self-Assessment Task E Evaluation				
Criteria for Student Success	Rating Scale to be used: (I) Ineffective, (D) Developing, (A) Accomplished, (E) Exemplary				

Program Success Target for th	is Measurement	Accomplished	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100	%
		100% Percentage of program students sampled		100% of gradua	ate students
		are desired to achieve the goal. Students are		will score Acco	mplished (3)
		given multiple opportunities to practice		or higher and or	n no
		teaching in order to reach Accomplished (3).		individual rubri	c category
				will the average	score
				across all stude	nts be less
				than 3.	
Methods	Candidates reflect upon their teaching event using the videotape of their teaching event and faculty review progress. Program faculty evaluated the videos.				
Based on your results, circle or	highlight whether	er the program met the goal Student Learning C	outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision m	alsing process one	destions planned for program improvement. The	ations should include a timeline)		

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

We are able to successfully meet our learning goal of students writing lesson plans and teaching the plan to individuals with learning and behavioral disorders. In the summer term, we will review the evidence-based strategies we are using and ensure that we our students are continuing to use the most current evidence-based strategies. We will review our rubrics and directions and ensure that this learning goal continues to be met at a high standard.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

In the summer term, we will review the evidence-based strategies we are using and ensure that we our students are continuing to use the most current evidence-based strategies. We will review our rubrics and directions and ensure that this learning goal continues to be met at a high standard.

Student Learning Outcome 2				
Student Learning Outcome	Graduate students will engage in a Research Inquiry Project that directly impacts their classroom instruction. The fundamental purpose of the Teaching as Inquiry Project is to achieve improved outcomes for all students. Less obviously, but very importantly, the Project is an organizing framework that teachers can use to help them learn from their practice and build greater knowledge. There are 4 parts to the project. Students will be able to answer the following Questions: The key question for the teaching inquiry is: What strategies (evidence-based) are most likely to help my students learn what they need to learn? If they hadn't already done so, the teachers set up processes for capturing evidence about the impact of their teaching on their target students, for example, through assessment procedures, interviews with the students, samples of students' work, video recordings, and observations of themselves and their students. In this learning inquiry, the teacher investigates the success of the teaching in terms of the prioritized outcomes, using a range of assessment approaches. They do this both while learning activities are in progress and also as longer-term sequences or units of work come to an end. They then analyze and interpret the information to consider what they should do next. The key question for the learning inquiry is: What happened as a result of the teaching, and what are the implications for future teaching? Two related questions then lead the inquiring teacher back into another round of inquiry: Is there something I need to change? What are the next steps for learning?			
Measurement Instrument 1	The project in SPED 595 involves four inquiries for candidates to complete in their classrooms: Focusing Inquiry: My student outcomes: How are my students doing in relation to those outcomes now? What do my students need to learn next in order to achieve those outcomes? Teaching Inquiry: What selected evidence-based teaching strategies support my students to achieve these outcomes? Learning Inquiry: My student outcomes: Evidence of Progress Monitoring toward the identified outcomes. How are my students doing in relation to those outcomes? What is the evidence telling me? What happened as a result of your teaching using the strategy(s)? What are the future teaching implications? What are the next steps for learning? Finally, Reflection: What I learned about my everyday classroom practices.			
Criteria for Student Success	research articles	a student or small group of students who need aca that address those gaps; data collection of probes of nentation of the data.		
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	100% 100% Percentage of program students sampled are desired to achieve the goal.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% 100% of graduate students successfully completed the SPED 595 Inquiry.

Methods	Faculty lead candidates in the selection of required research articles that address those gaps; data collection of probes over a six to eight week span using effective strategies found in the literature; documentation of the data. Faculty evaluation deemed the projects to be successfully completed.				
Measurement Instrument 2	Capstone: Using data from the Inquiry Research Project, Candidates develop a presentation that is shared with the class. The presentation will focus on the research findings used to choose the strategy that will be applied to each graduate students' pupil's needs (either behavioral or academic). Then through explicit teaching using the chosen strategy, graduate students will document progress for no less than 6 weeks of intervention. Finally, the graduate students will evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention using their own evaluation tool. These data are individual achievements of the progress of students with disabilities, each one different based on his/her behavioral or academic needs identified in the IEP.				
Criteria for Student Success		on to class using Zoom. Graduate student success identified area of concern from that pupil's IEP go		documenting p	oupil growth
Program Success Target for thi		100% 100% Percentage of program students sampled are desired to achieve the goal. Graduate candidates are coached at key times throughout the course using indicators including choice of peer-reviewed research findings specific to the identified pupil need, strategies identified as effective, documentation of progress, and presentation of findings.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% of grad successfully of SPED 595 Ind Presentation.	ompleted the
Methods	Faculty lead can successfully con	ndidates in the development of a presentation to his mpleted.	ghlight progress. Faculty evaluation deemed	the presentation	ns to be
Measurement Instrument 3					
Criteria for Student Success					
Program Success Target for thi	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target		
Methods					
Based on your results, circle or l	highlight whethe	r the program met the goal Student Learning C	Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Course outcomes were reviewed Approved CEC Special Education	by Special Educ on Professional I	actions planned for program improvement. The a ration faculty to ensure that course outcomes ad Preparation Advanced Standards Professional%20Preparation%20Standards/Advanced	dress the KY Teacher Standards for the P		
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up. It	follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvemen	nt.)	

The 2018-2019 Inquiry Project will continue to influence students with Learning and Behavior Disorders outcomes in a positive trajectory to support students to proficient and above.

Student Learning Outcome 3					
Student Learning Outcome		ucation majors must successfully pass Praxis I program and to continue to improve and proble		ers: Mild to Mo	derate to
Measurement Instrument 1	Praxis II results				
Criteria for Student Success	Passing score				
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	100% 100% Percentage of program students sampled are desired to achieve the goal.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% 100% of gradua successfully con Praxis II.	
Methods	Graduate student indicate all stude	ts must take the national test and pass for certifications passed.	ion in Learning and Behavior Disorders certi	fication P-12. St	ate reports
Measurement Instrument 2					
Criteria for Student Success					
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target		
Methods					
Measurement Instrument 3					
Criteria for Student Success					
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target		
Methods					
Based on your results, circle or h	nighlight whether	the program met the goal Student Learning Ou	itcome 3.	Met	Not Met

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

Course outcomes were reviewed by Special Education faculty to ensure that course outcomes address the KY Teacher Standards for the Profession, and the NCATE Approved CEC Special Education Professional Preparation Advanced Standards

https://www.cec.sped.org/~/media/Files/Standards/Professional%20Preparation%20Standards/Advanced%20Preparation%20Standards%20with%20Explanation.pdf

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

The 2018-2019 Inquiry Project will continue to influence students with Learning and Behavior Disorders outcomes in a positive trajectory to support students to proficient and above.