Assurance of Student Learning 2019-2020				
College of Education and Behavioral Sciences School of Teacher Education				
MAE and EdS in Gifted	Education Programs #0492 and #0480			

Use this page	to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed informati the subsequent pages.	on must be	completed i
Student Lear	ning Outcome 1: Students will apply foundational concepts of gifted education including terminology, theori	es, and best	practices.
Instrument 1	Praxis II success		
Instrument 2	District Identification Plan (scored by rubric)		
Instrument 3	Unit Plan (scored by rubric)		
Based on your r	esults, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear environment.	ning Outcome 2: Students will actively advocate for gifted learners and are able to highlight best practices fo	r use in thei	r learning
Instrument 1	Unit Plan (scored by rubric)		
Instrument 2	Advocacy Video (scored by rubric)		
Instrument 3	Creativity/Leadership Project Reflection (scored by rubric)		
Based on your r	esults, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
	ning Outcome 3: Students will use data from their learning environments to create programs that address the to support their activities.	needs in the	eir locations
Instrument 1	Creativity/Leadership Project Reflection (scored by rubric)		

Instrument 2	Capstone Project (scored by rubric)		
Instrument 3	District Identification Plan (scored by rubric)		
Based on your re	esults, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sum	mary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		

	Student Learning Outcome 1				
Student Learning Outcome	Students will apply foundational concepts of gifted education including terminology, theories, and best practices.				
Measurement Instrument 1	The Praxis II test for Gifted Education Endorsement measures the degree to which the student understands and can apply foundational concepts of gifted education. This test is required for state-wide endorsement in gifted education.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students are req	uired to obtain a passing score on this ex	am		
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	90%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	84%	
Methods	completed GTE the test scores to	538 by June 10, 2020 to determine the nu determine the passing rate. Number of s unique situation caused by COVID-19, cl	of GTE 538, the practicum course. We sampled a mber of students who should have taken the Pra tudents taking the test for 2019-20 was 13. The asses taken during the Spring and Summer were	exis exam and then obtained overall state passing rate was	

Measurement Instrument 2	District Identification Plan					
Criteria for Student Success	3 out of 4 on rubric or 115 out of 150 points minimum					
Program Success Target for thi	s Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	809	<i>V</i> 0	
Methods	All students who completed GTE 539 for Summer 20 were included in these data (11 MAE; 2 Cert. only; 2 EDS) for 15 students total. Given the unique situation caused by COVID-19, classes taken during the Spring and Summer were affected by additional demands faced by our students.					
Measurement Instrument 3	Unit Plan					
Criteria for Student Success	Students scoring	80% or better are considered Masters of the	e standard			
Program Success Target for thi	s Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	920	%o	
Methods	EdS, 2 EdD, 1 ur		Ided in this sample. Number of students was a used by COVID-19, classes taken during the			
Based on your results, circle or h	ighlight whether t	the program met the goal Student Learning	Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met	
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	king process and a	ctions planned for program improvement. The	actions should include a timeline.)			
to monitor student progress. As	a part of continu our students' succ	ous improvement we will look for opportu	as planned. We will review the assignments an nities to ensure the courses provide the appr but also understand that all of our students a	opriate level of	challenge for	
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up. If f	ollow-up has occurred, describe how the action	s above have resulted in program improvement.)		
We will continue to monitor the	results of the asses	sments annually when the program faculty	review courses and student feedback.			

		Student Learning Outcor	ne 2		
	Students will actively advocate for gifted learners and are able to highlight best practices for use in their learning environment.				
Measurement Instrument 1	Unit Plan				
Criteria for Student Success	Students scoring	g 80% or better are considered Masters of the s	andard		
Program Success Target for this I	Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	92%	
	Students who co EdS, 2 EdD, 1 u	mpleted GTE 536 for Spring 2019 were include ndergraduate).	d in this sample. Number of students was 1	13 (5 Certificate, 3 MAE, 1,	
Measurement Instrument 2	Advocacy Video	,			
Criteria for Student Success	Students will sco	ore a 3 - proficient or higher on this section of the	ae rubric		
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	84%	
	All students who completed PSY432G for the 2019-2020 academic year were included in the sample. A rubric that was created according to the SPA standards was used to score the project. There were 13 students enrolled (6 Certification only, 4, MAE, 1 Rank 1, 1, EdS, 1 Undergraduate). Two students did not complete the final project for the course which led to 11/13 (84%) achieving the target. Given the unique situation caused by COVID-19, classes taken during the Spring and Summer were affected by additional demands faced by our students.				
Measurement Instrument 3	Creativity/Leadership Project teacher collaboration section				
Criteria for Student Success	Students will score a 3 - proficient or higher on this section of the rubric				
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	80%	
Methods	All students who	o completed GTE 540 for the 2019-20 academic	year were included in this sample. A rubri	c based on SPA standards	

	was used to score this project. There were 8 students enrolled (1 Certification only, 5 MAE, 2 EdS). Given by COVID-19, classes taken during the Spring and Summer were affected by additional demands faced by		
Based on your results, circle or h	ighlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	king process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)		
continuous improvement we will success rates were lower than the 19.	assessments of this learning outcome we will review the assignments and assessments annually to monitor look for opportunities to insure the courses provide the appropriate level of challenge for students. We ac previous year but also understand that all of our students are teachers who had unusual demands placed o	knowledge tha n them as a res	it our students'
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)	
We will continue to monitor the r	results of the assessments annually when the program faculty review courses and student feedback.		

	Student Learning Outcome 3				
Student Learning Outcome		Students will use data from their learning environments to create programs that address the needs in their locations using research to support their activities			
Measurement Instrument 1	Creativity/Leade	Creativity/Leadership Project Reflection (scored by rubric)			
Criteria for Student Success	teria for Student Success Students will score a 3 - proficient or higher on this component of the project rubric				
Program Success Target for this	Program Success Target for this Measurement 85% Percent of Program Achieving Target 80%				
Methods	540 for the 2019 were 8 students	were enrolled in GTE 540 Creativity and Leade 20 academic year were included in this sample. enrolled (1 Certification only, 5 MAE, 2 EdS). G ummer were affected by additional demands fac	A rubric based on SPA standards was use iven the unique situation caused by COVI	d to score this project. There	
Measurement Instrument 2	nt Instrument 2 Capstone Project (scored by rubric)				

Criteria for Student Success	Students will score a 3 - proficient or higher on this component of the project rubric				
Program Success Target for thi	is Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	10	0%
Aethods		o completed TCHL 560 and are enrolled in the ed in the sample.	MAE for Gifted Education for 2019-2020 und	der this prog	ram were fiv
Aeasurement Instrument 3	District Identifi	cation Plan (scored by rubric)			
Criteria for Student Success	3 of 4 on rubric	or 115 of 150 points minimum			
Program Success Target for thi	is Measurement	85%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	80	%
1ethods	total.Given the	o completed GTE 539 for Summer 20 were incl unique situation caused by COVID-19, classes t by our students.			
ased on your results, circle or h	ighlight whether	• the program met the goal Student Learning O	utcome 3.	Met	Not Met

	1	2	3	4
Total = 150 pts.	Needs Much Improvement Below 95 points	Needs Some Improvement 95-112 points	Good or Acceptable 113-129 points	Excellent 130-150 points
Strengths of Assessment and Identification Plan in regard to Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification).	Student shows no evidence of analysis.	Student shows little evidence of analysis	Student shows some evidence of analysis of strengths related to Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification) – Minimum of 3 strengths. Description was adequate	Student thoroughly articulates and analyzes strengths well – more than 3 strengths analyzed related to Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification). Description was comprehensive with more
			with less than 100 words for each strength area.	than 100 words for each strength area.
Growth Areas related to Section II: Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification)	Student describes no evidence for growth areas for Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification). Student does not articulate areas for growth well.	Student describes little evidence for growth areas for Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification). Student does not articulate areas for growth well.	Student describes some evidence for growth areas Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification). Description was adequate with less than 100 words for each growth area.	Student describes evidence for growth areas for Professional Foundations (Policy and Standards for Identification). Student articulates areas for growth well. Description was comprehensive with more than 100 words for each growth area.
Strengths of Assessment and Identification Plan in regard to Section IV: Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify)	Student shows no evidence of analysis.	Student shows little evidence of analysis	Student shows some evidence of analysis of strengths related to Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) . – Minimum of 3 strengths. Description was adequate with less than 100 words for each strength area.	Student thoroughly articulates and analyzes strengths well – more than 3 strengths analyzed related to Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) Description was comprehensive with more than 100 words for each strength area.
Growth Areas related to Section IV: Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify)	Student describes no evidence for growth areas for Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) Student does not articulate areas for growth well.	Student describes little evidence for growth areas for Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) Student does not articulate areas for growth well.	Student describes some evidence for growth areas Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) Description was adequate with less than 100 words for each growth area.	Student describes evidence for growth areas for Instrumentation (What Instruments to Use to Identify) Student articulates areas for growth well. Description was comprehensive with more than 100 words for each growth area.
Comments:				

	PSY 432 Adv	ocacy Final Project Ru	ubric	'
	Novice (1)	Developing (2)	Competent (3)	Distinguished (4)
Content 75%				
Beginning gifted education professionals use			Clearly state a few key	
understanding of development and individual	Did not state the	Stated Needs of gifted	needs of gifted students	Clearly state multiple needs of
differences to respond to the needs of	needs of gifted	students but lacking	with references to	gifted students with references
individuals with gifts and talents. Standard 1.2	students.	references to research.	research.	to research
			Emphasized the unique	
Beginning gifted education professionals create		Discussed the unique	social and academic	Provided multiple research-
safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning		social and academic	environmental needs of	based aspects regarding student
environments that engage individuals with gifts	Did not address the	needs of gifted students.	gifted students and	social and academic needs and
and talents in meaningful and rigorous learning	unique social and	Did not provide strategies	strategies to engage	how the classroom environment
activities and social interactions. Standard 2.1	academic needs	for support.	students.	impacts these needs.
Beginning gifted education professionals use				
communication and motivational and				
instructional strategies to facilitate				Provided multiple research-
understanding of subject matter and to teach	Did not address	Addressed motivation	Addressed motivation and	based aspects regarding student
individuals with gifts and talents how to adapt	motivation or did	and provided	provided research-based	motivation and evidenced based
to different environments and develop ethical	not provide	recommendations but did	recommendations of ways	recommendations to nurture
leadership skills. Standard 2.2	recommendations.	not reference research.	to support motivation	intrinsic motivation.
			Recommended a single	
			strategy that focuses on	
Beginning gifted education professionals design		Recommended strategies	creativity, acceleration,	Recommend multiple strategies
appropriate learning and performance		to enhance creativity,	depth, and complexity in	that focus on creativity,
modifications for individuals with gifts and		acceleration, depth, or	specific subjects for	acceleration, depth, and
talents that enhance creativity, acceleration,	Did not recommend	complexity for	appropriate learning	complexity in specific subjects
depth and complexity in academic subject	any strategies for	modifications but did not	modifications based on	for appropriate learning
matter and specialized domains Standard 3.2	modifications.	reference research.	research.	modifications based on research.

	Did not provide			
	reasoning or	Provided reasoning	Provided reasoning	
	methodology for	and/or methodology for	and/or methodology for	
	instructional	several instructional	several instructional	Provided the reasoning and the
	strategies that could	strategies that could be	strategies that could be	methodology for several
Beginning gifted education professionals use	be used to support	used to support the	used to support the	instructional strategies that
instructional strategies that enhance the	the social/emotional	social/emotional needs of	social/emotional needs of	could be used to support the
affective development of individuals with gifts	needs of gifted	gifted students however	gifted students based on	social/emotional needs of gifted
and talents. Standard 5.5	students.	did not cite research.	research.	students based on research.
			Provided advocacy for the	
		Advocated for the needs	needs of gifted students	
	Did not advocate for	of gifted students	throughout the	Provided strong advocacy for the
Beginning gifted education professionals	the needs of gifted	however most	presentation by	needs of gifted students
advance the profession by engaging in activities	students throughout	information was personal	combining facts and	throughout the presentation by
such as advocacy and mentoring. Standard 6.5	the presentation.	opinion.	personal opinion.	using facts and information.
			Presentation used some	Presentation used multiple
Beginning gifted education professionals apply	Presentation lacked		elements of effective	elements of effective
elements of effective collaboration. Standard	elements of	Presentation attempted	collaboration as shown in	collaboration as shown in
7.1	collaboration.	collaboration.	research.	research.
	Presentation did not			
Beginning gifted education professionals serve	show a willingness	Presentation showed a	Presentation showed a	Presentation demonstrated a
as a collaborative resource to colleagues.	to collaborate with	weak to reach out to	willingness to collaborate	strong willingness to collaborate
Standard 7.2	other teachers.	other teachers.	with other teachers.	with other teachers.
	Presentation does			
Beginning gifted education professionals use	not show	Presentation shows	Presentation shows some	
collaboration to promote the well-being of	opportunities for	limited opportunities for	opportunities for	Presentation shows many
individuals with gifts and talents across a wide	collaboration with	collaboration and/or only	collaboration with parents	opportunities for collaboration
range of settings, experiences, and	parents and	focuses on the school	and teachers in limited	with parents and teachers in
collaborators. Standard 7.3	teachers.	setting.	settings.	multiple settings.
Presentation 15%				

	Graphics, visuals,			
	and/or font are	Graphics, visuals, and/or	Graphics, visuals and fonts	
	lacking creating a	fonts show some aspect	are good quality and	Graphics, visuals and font are
	very amateurish	of quality but need much	contribute to the	designed at a high level of
Professional Design (7.5%)	presentation.	more work.	presentation.	quality.
	Voice over or			
	recording is			
	unintelligible,			Narration is clear and to the
	cannot understand	Speech has so many	Narration is clear. Speaker	point. Message is easy to
Communication is Clear (7.5%)	what is being said.	errors as to be confusing.	made 1-2 errors.	understand.
Creativity 10%				
		Presented some original		
	Presented content	thoughts and	Presented original	Presented individual insight and
	directly from other	understanding regarding	understandings of the	original understanding that
Content (5%)	resources	the content	content	brings new light to the content
		Some individuality is		
	Presentation lacks	expressed in the		
	any individual	presentation but seems		
	personality	mostly to come from a	Individual personality is	Authentic, individual personality
Presentation (5%)	expression.	template or other source.	seen in the presentation.	is expressed in the presentation.

GTE 540 Final Project Rubric			1	
	Excellent	Proficient	Developing	Needs Improvement
3.2 Beginning gifted education professionals design appropriate learning and performance modifications for individuals with gifts and talents that enhance creativity, acceleration, depth and complexity in academic subject matter and specialized domains. 3.2 Beginning gifted education professionals design appropriate learning and performance modifications for individuals with gifts and talents that enhance creativity, acceleration, depth and complexity in academic subject matter and specialized domains. 3.2 Beginning gifted education professionals design appropriate learning and performance modifications for individuals with gifts and talents that enhance creativity, acceleration, depth and complexity in academic subject matter and specialized domains.	50 (25.00%) Expected outcomes of the teacher collaboration are research-based and tie with NAGC/CEC standards for programs and demonstrate how they will improve talent development.	Points:42.5 (21.25%) Expected outcomes of the teacher collaboration are research- based and tie with NAGC/CEC standards for programs.	Points:32.5 (16.25%) Expected outcomes of the teacher collaboration are missing either research support ties with NAGC/CEC standards for programs.	Points:0 (0.00%) Expected outcomes lack research support and ties with NAGC/CEC standards for programs.
6.1 Beginning gifted education professionals use professional ethical principles and specialized program standards to guide their practice.	Points:50 (25.00%) Collaboration plans are research-based, follow principles of effective collaboration and demonstrate an understanding of the general education teachers' needs.	Points:42.5 (21.25%) Collaboration plans are research- based and follow principles of effective collaboration.	Points:32.5 (16.25%) Collaboration plans are missing either research support or following principles of effective collaboration.	Points:0 (0.00%) Collaboration plans are missing research support and following principles of effective collaboration

6.4 Beginning gifted education professionals are aware of their own	Points:50 (25.00%)	Points:42.5 (21.25%)	Points:32.5 (16.25%)	Points:0 (0.00%)
professional learning needs, understand	Reflection clearly states needs			
the significance of lifelong learning, and	for further professional learning	Reflection	Reflection is	Reflection is
participate in professional activities and	with evidence of how this will	clearly states	0	missing needs
learning communities.	translate into more effective	needs for	for further	for further
	practice and indicates plans for	further	professional	professional
	obtaining the required	professional	learning or is	learning.
	professional learning.	learning with	lacking	
		evidence of	evidence of	
		how this will	how this will	
		translate into	translate into	
		more effective	more effective	
		practice.	practice.	
Survey Results	Points:10 (5.00%)	Points:8.5	Points:6.5	Points:0
		(4.25%)	(3.25%)	(0.00%)
	Survey responses are included			
	with multiple graphics to	Survey	Survey	Survey
	accurately reflect important	responses are	responses are	
	areas or trends in the	included and	included.	and graphics
	responses.	graphics	Graphic does	are missing.
		accurately	not accurately	
		reflect the	reflect the	
		responses.	responses.	
Writing & Grammar ammar	Points:20 (10.00%)	Points:17	Points:13	Points:0
		(8.50%)	(6.50%)	(0.00%)
	Writing is exceptional and at a			
	professional level.	Less than 3	More than 4	Enough
		writing/gramm	writing/gramm	writing/gramm
		ar errors are	ar errors are	ar errors exist
		noted	noted.	to make
				reading
				difficult.

Research and APA	Points:20 (10.00%)	Points:17	Points:13	Points:0
		(8.50%)	(6.50%)	(0.00%)
	More than 10 scholarly			
	references are used. APA	At least 8	Less than 8	No scholarly
	formatting of citations and	scholarly	scholarly	references are
	references follows required	references are	references are	used.
	standards.	used. APA	used or APA	
		formatting of	format of	
		citations and	citations and	
		references	references is	
		follows	not evident.	
		required		
		standards.		

Scoring Guide : TCHL 560

	Beginning (1)	Developing (2)	Proficient (3)	Distinguished (4)
The AR Project identifies a problem and relates it to no fewer than 3 Kentucky Teacher Standards	The Project identifies a problem area related to the candidates' work but it does not relate to the Kentucky Teacher Standards.	The Project identifies a problem area related to the candidates' work but it only relates to 1 or 2 Kentucky Teacher Standards.	The Project identifies a problem area related to the candidates' work and to no fewer than 3 Kentucky Teacher Standards.	The Project identifies a problem area related to the candidates' work and it relates to 4 or more Kentucky Teacher Standards.
The AR Project conducts an extensive and relevant review of the literature related to the problem area identified	The AR Project conducts a review of the literature related to the problem area identified but it is not extensive and/or relevant.	The AR Project conducts a relevant review of the literature related to the problem area identified but it only includes 6-9 current resources.	The AR Project conducts an extensive (at least 10 current sources) and relevant review of the literature related to the problem area identified .	The AR Project conducts an extensive (more than 10 current sources) and relevant review of the literature related to the problem area identified.
The AR Project defines an educationally relevant, focused problem that will be studied, designs an	The AR Project defines a problem that is not relevant, methodology designed is inappropriate, OR does	The AR Project defines a problem that is only somewhat relevant, OR methodology is only generally appropriate.	The AR Project defines an educationally relevant, focused problem that will be studied, designs	The AR Project defines an educationally relevant, focused problem that will be studied, designs appropriate methodology, with

appropriate study, and acquires IRB approval for the study.	not acquire IRB approval for the study.	Study acquires IRB approval.	appropriate methodology, with triangulation, and acquires IRB approval for the study.	triangulation, and acquires IRB approval for the study. The study demonstrates potential for further research by the candidate.
The AR Project collects data, as defined by the methodology and employs data analysis procedure(s) accurately to interpret findings.	The AR Project collects data that somewhat defined by the methodology and begins to analyze data to interpret findings.	The AR Project collects data defined by the methodology and begins to analyze data to interpret findings.	The AR Project collects data, as defined by the methodology and employs data analysis procedure(s) accurately to interpret findings.	The AR Project collects data, as defined by the methodology and employs data analysis procedure(s) accurately to cogently interpret findings.
The AR Project produces appropriate written and oral presentations of the outcomes of the study representing interpretations of the project's data along with logical next steps.	The AR Project produces incomplete written and oral presentations of the outcomes of the study, OR interpretations of the project's data are incomplete or limited.	The AR Project presentations are generally appropriate and complete, but lacking a thorough discussion of the outcomes of the study or lacking clear interpretations of the project's data or logical next steps.	The AR Project produces appropriate interpretations of research data with the relationship to other relevant research findings about the same problem discussed along with logical next steps.	The AR Project produces comprehensive written and oral interpretations of research data with the relationship to other relevant research findings about the same problem discussed along with logical next steps.
TOTAL POINTS POSSIBLE	= 20	-	TOTAL POINTS EARNED:	/20

NOTE TO STUDENTS: After you submit this critical performance, the scores on this analytic rubric will be provided to you for constructive feedback. However, only an overall "holistic score" will be entered into the Electronic Portfolio System (EPS) based on the following scale: 1 – Beginning, 2 – Developing, 3 – Proficient, or 4 – Distinguished. This holistic score will be based on the following ranges of possible points on this analytic rubric:

- Holistic Score of 1 = Analytic Rubric Score Range 5-7
- Holistic Score of 2 = Analytic Rubric Score Range 8-12
- Holistic Score of 3 = Analytic Rubric Score Range 13-17
- Holistic Score of 4 = Analytic Rubric Score Range 18-20

-

Additionally, you may only receive a holistic score of 4 in the EPS if the critical performance required no revision. This means that, if revisions are required and you make the necessary revisions, even if you score 18 or above on this analytic rubric, the highest score you will receive in the EPS is still "3".