Assurance of Student Learning 2019-2020				
Education and Behavioral Sciences Counseling and Student Affairs				
Student Affairs in Higher Education 145				

	in the subsequent pages.		
Student Lear	rning Outcome 1: Demonstrates an understanding of the core values of the student affairs profession.		
Instrument 1	Comprehensive exam		
nstrument 2	Individual course assignment (CNS 571): Documents Critique Assignment		
Instrument 3	Internship supervisor evaluation of students completing Internship (CNS 595)		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear	rning Outcome 2: Demonstrates an understanding of student development theory and its relationship to the promotion of student	learning and de	velopment.
Instrument 1	Comprehensive Exam		
Instrument 2	Individual course assignment (CNS 574): Compare and Critique #2 Assignment		
Instrument 3	Internship supervisor evaluation of students completing Internship (CNS 595)		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear	rning Outcome 3: Demonstrates an understanding of diversity and has the ability to relate to students from different backgrounds	/ cultures.	•
Instrument 1	Comprehensive exam		
Instrument 2	Individual course assignment (CNS 572): Interview Assignment		
Instrument 3	Internship supervisor evaluation of students completing Internship (CNS 595)		
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sui	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)	•	1

		Student Learning Outcon	ne 1		
<b>Student Learning Outcome</b>	Demonstrates an understanding of the core values of the student affairs profession.				
	Comprehensive exam. All students must pass a comprehensive examination that assesses the knowledge and skills acquired through the student affairs program. The comprehensive exam is designed to assess how well students have mastered the 10 core competencies needed for success in the student affairs profession as articulated in the "Professional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Practitioners," which was published jointly by ACPA and NASPA (attached). These competencies, in turn, are based on the CAS Standards for Higher Education and Student Affairs Professional Preparation Programs ( <a href="https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101">https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101</a> ). Specifically, these areas are: 1) Advising and Helping, 2) Assessment, Evaluation, and Research, 3) Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion, 4) Ethical Professional Practice, 5) History, Philosophy, and Values, 6) Human and Organizational Resources, 7) Law, Policy, and Governance, 8) Leadership, 9) Personal Foundations, and 10) Student Learning and Development. Specifically, the Comprehensive Exam is comprised of 100 multiple-choice items (approximately 10 questions per competency area, and two essay questions designed to demonstrate the ability to apply these competencies.				
l l		ieve a passing score of 70% to graduate.			
Program Success Target for this I	Measurement	The over average score across students will be no less than 70% and on no dimension (competency area) will the average score across students be less than 60%.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	
Methods	This examination is typically taken during their last semester of enrollment and has both an objective (100 multiple choice items) and a qualitative component (two essay questions).				
Measurement Instrument 2	Individual course	assignment (CNS 571): Documents Critique Assignmen	t		
	The overall average score on the rubric will be 90% and on no individual rubric dimension will the average score be less than half the available points. Specifically, the number of points you earn for the Documents Critique Assignment will be determined as follows:  Critical Thinking (20%) - the extent to which your critique demonstrates critical thinking skills appropriate to a graduate-level class; observations, conclusions, and inferences are reinforced by empirical evidence or outside research.  Depth of Analysis (20%) - the extent to which your critique gets to the core issues discussed by the authors in a comprehensive and detailed manner.  Integration (20%) - the extent to which you link the central themes on each of the documents to each other in a coherent and systematic way; there is an ongoing systematic evolution to these documents  Articulation (20%) - the extent to which the language in your critique is clear and precise as well as technically accurate; i.e., you use correct spelling and grammar.  Technical Competence/Visual Presentation (20%) - the extent to which your presentation is aesthetically pleasing and interesting to read; how well you are able to keep the attention of the reader. Graphics and visuals are always helpful in this regard – as long as the focus stays on what you are saying and not how you are saying it.  Each dimension is evaluated and then an aggregate score is determined. 90% an above is Excellent; 80-89% is Good; 70-79% is Marginal; Below 70% is Unacceptable.				
Program Success Target for this		One hundred (100%) of the students will average at least 90%, with no dimension averaging less than half the potential points available.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	
		que Assignment. Each student is required to read, reviewer in the "Course Content" section of Blackboard:	w and provide their reaction to the six documents	in the "Documents Critique	

	<ul> <li>The Hi</li> <li>How W</li> <li>The St</li> <li>Profess</li> <li>Toward</li> </ul>	udent Personnel Point of View (1937) story of Student Governance in Higher Education Yomen Impacted the Historical Development of Student Addent Learning Imperative sional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Practitioned a Sustainable Future: The Role of Student Affairs in Cents are to be reviewed collectively; i.e., develop one Poss folder.	rs reating Healthy Environments, Social Justice, and		
<b>Measurement Instrument 3</b>	Internship superv	visor evaluation of students completing Internship (CNS	595)		
Criteria for Student Success	core values of the Internship superv	Internship supervisors would indicate in their formal evaluation of the students they supervised that these students demonstrated an understanding of the core values of the student affairs profession. The Student Evaluation completed by the Site Supervisor is attached. The formal evaluation process asks Internship supervisors to rate items on a 6-point scale, with 1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Average, 4=Good, and 5=Excellent. There is also a NA (Non-Applicable category). The overall average score on the rubric will be 4.0 and on no individual rubric item will the score be less than 2.0.			
average so		Ninety (90%) of the students will achieve an average score of at least 4.0 across the items measured by the instrument.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved that target.	he indicated
Methods	All Internship supervisors complete a formal evaluation of the students they supervised for CNS 595 (Internship). The evaluation includes items related to students' understanding of the core values of the student affairs profession.				
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.  Met Not Met					Not Met
	Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)				
		ng of the core values of the student affairs profession; no			
<b>Follow-Up</b> (Provide your timeline N/A	for follow-up. I	f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvemen	t.)	

		Student Learning Outcom	ne 2		
Student Learning Outcome	Demonstrates an understanding of student development theory and its relationship to the promotion of student learning and development.				
Measurement Instrument 1	Comprehensive exam. All students must pass a comprehensive examination that assesses the knowledge and skills acquired through the student affairs program. The comprehensive exam is designed to assess how well students have mastered the 10 core competencies needed for success in the student affairs profession as articulated in the "Professional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Practitioners," which was published jointly by ACPA and NASPA (attached). These competencies, in turn, are based on the CAS Standards for Higher Education and Student Affairs Professional Preparation Programs ( <a href="https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101">https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101</a> ). Specifically, these areas are: 1) Advising and Helping, 2) Assessment, Evaluation, and Research, 3) Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion, 4) Ethical professional Practice, 5) History, Philosophy, and Values, 6) Human and Organizational Resources, 7) Law, Policy, and Governance, 8) Leadership, 9) Personal Foundations, and 10) <b>Student Learning and Development</b> . Specifically, the Comprehensive Exam is comprised of 100 multiple-choice items (approximately 10 questions per competency area, and two essay questions designed to demonstrate the ability to apply these competencies.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students must ach	tieve a passing score of 70% to graduate.			
Program Success Target for this Measurement		The over average score across students will be no less than 70% and on no dimension (competency area) will the average score across students be less than 60%.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	
Methods	This examination is typically taken during their last semester of enrollment and has both an objective (100 multiple choice items) and a qualitative component (two essay questions).				
Measurement Instrument 2	Individual course assignment (CNS 574): Compare and Critique #2 Assignment				
Criteria for Student Success	The overall average score on the rubric will be 90% and on no individual rubric dimension will the average score be less than half the available points. Specifically, the number of points you earn for the Compare and Critique #2 Assignment will be determined as follows:  Critical Thinking (20%) - the extent to which your critique demonstrates critical thinking skills appropriate to a graduate-level class; observations, conclusions, and inferences are reinforced by empirical evidence or outside research.  Depth of Analysis (20%) - the extent to which your critique gets to the core issues discussed by the authors in a comprehensive and detailed manner.  Integration (20%) - the extent to which you link the central themes on each of the documents to each other in a coherent and systematic way; there is an ongoing systematic evolution to these documents  Articulation (20%) - the extent to which the language in your critique is clear and precise as well as technically accurate; i.e., you use correct spelling and grammar.  Technical Competence/Visual Presentation (20%) - the extent to which your presentation is aesthetically pleasing and interesting to read; how well you are able to keep the attention of the reader. Graphics and visuals are always helpful in this regard – as long as the focus stays on what you are saying and not how you are saying it.  Each dimension is evaluated and then an aggregate score is determined. 90% an above is Excellent; 80-89% is Good; 70-79% is Marginal; Below 70% is Unacceptable.				
Program Success Target for this		One hundred (100%) of the students will average at least 90%, with no dimension averaging less than half the potential points available.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	

Measurement Instrument 3	Compare and Critique #2 Assignment. Read the following two articles: "Theories and Models of Student Development," and "Identity Development Theories in Student Affairs: Origins, Current Status, and New Approaches." Then prepare a summary and integrated critique (PowerPoint presentation) comparing and contrasting the two perspectives, with an applications-oriented emphasis on how the information contained in these articles can be used to inform Student Affairs practice.  Internship supervisor evaluation of students completing internship				
Criteria for Student Success	Internship supervisors would indicate in their formal evaluation of the students they supervised that these students demonstrated an understanding of student development theory and its relationship to the promotion of student learning and development. The Student Evaluation completed by the Site Supervisor is attached. The formal evaluation process asks Internship supervisors to rate items on a 6-point scale, with 1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Average, 4=Good, and 5=Excellent. There is also a NA (Non-Applicable category). The overall average score on the rubric will be 4.0 and on no individual rubric item will the score be less than 2.0.				
Program Success Target for this Measurement  Ninety (90%) of the students will achieve an average score of at least 4.0 across the items measured by the instrument.  Percent of Program Achieving Target target.			ne indicated		
Methods	All Internship supervisors complete a formal evaluation of the students they supervised for CNS 595 (Internship). The evaluation includes items related to students' understanding of student development theory and its relationship to the promotion of student learning and development.				ns related to
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.  Met  Not Met					
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)					
Students in the program demonstrate a clear understanding of student development theory and its relationship to the promotion of student learning and development; no follow-up actions needed.					
<b>Follow-Up</b> (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)  N/A					

		Student Learning Outcom	ne 3		
<b>Student Learning Outcome</b>	Demonstrates an	Demonstrates an understanding of diversity and has the ability to relate to students from different backgrounds/ cultures.			
Measurement Instrument 1	Comprehensive exam. All students must pass a comprehensive examination that assesses the knowledge and skills acquired through the student affairs program. The comprehensive exam is designed to assess how well students have mastered the 10 core competencies needed for success in the student affairs profession as articulated in the "Professional Competency Areas for Student Affairs Practitioners," which was published jointly by ACPA and NASPA (attached). These competencies, in turn, are based on the CAS Standards for Higher Education and Student Affairs Professional Preparation Programs ( <a href="https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101">https://www.cas.edu/blog_home.asp?Display=101</a> ). Specifically, these areas are: 1) Advising and Helping, 2) Assessment, Evaluation, and Research, 3) <b>Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion</b> , 4) Ethical professional Practice, 5) History, Philosophy, and Values, 6) Human and Organizational Resources, 7) Law, Policy, and Governance, 8) Leadership, 9) Personal Foundations, and 10) Student Learning and Development. Specifically, the Comprehensive Exam is comprised of 100 multiple-choice items (approximately 10 questions per competency area, and two essay questions designed to demonstrate the ability to apply these competencies.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students must ach	nieve a passing score of 70% to graduate.			
Program Success Target for this Measurement		The over average score across students will be no less than 70% and on no dimension (competency area) will the average score across students be less than 60%.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	
Methods		This examination is typically taken during their last semester of enrollment and has both an objective (100 multiple choice items) and a qualitative component (two essay questions).			
Measurement Instrument 2	Individual course	Individual course assignment (CNS 572): Interview Assignment			
Criteria for Student Success	The overall average score on the rubric will be 90% and on no individual rubric dimension will the average score be less than half the available points. Specifically, the number of points you earn for the Interview Assignment will be determined as follows:  Critical Thinking (20%) - the extent to which your critique demonstrates critical thinking skills appropriate to a graduate-level class; observations, conclusions, and inferences are reinforced by empirical evidence or outside research.  Depth of Analysis (20%) - the extent to which your critique gets to the core issues discussed by the authors in a comprehensive and detailed manner.  Integration (20%) - the extent to which you link the central themes on each of the documents to each other in a coherent and systematic way; there is an ongoing systematic evolution to these documents  Articulation (20%) - the extent to which the language in your critique is clear and precise as well as technically accurate; i.e., you use correct spelling and grammar.  Technical Competence/Visual Presentation (20%) - the extent to which your presentation is aesthetically pleasing and interesting to read; how well you are able to keep the attention of the reader. Graphics and visuals are always helpful in this regard – as long as the focus stays on what you are saying and not how you are saying it.  Each dimension is evaluated and then an aggregate score is determined. 90% an above is Excellent; 80-89% is Good; 70-79% is Marginal; Below 70% is Unacceptable.				
Program Success Target for this		One hundred (100%) of the students will average at least 90%, with no dimension averaging less than half the potential points available.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved the indicated target.	

Methods  Measurement Instrument 3	students from the backgrounds – in orientations, etc. (i.e., for example their college exp • Why d • If they • How m • What d • What d • Is colled • What h • What v • Are yo • What s • Do you • And an Prepare a single interviews means	ment. Each student is to interview three students and presame institution, please be sure to exhibit diversity in yachde students from different age categories, genders, rad. If you interview students from different institutions, it is, three traditional age, Greek-affiliated students from the erience, for example: Individual individual institution you are attended you enroll at the undergraduate institution you are attended you enroll at the undergraduate institution you are attended you like about college? Individual you like about college? Individual you not like about college? Individual you have been the biggest challenges/barriers/obstacles you have been the biggest challenges/barriers/o	our selection process (i.e., for example, do not intectal/ethnic and socioeconomic backgrounds, religities more acceptable to interview students with simile edifferent undergraduate institutions would be acceptable to interview students with simile edifferent undergraduate institutions would be acceptable to attend the same institution?  The end of t	erview three stude ous preferences, lar demographic ecceptable). Ask st	ents with similar sexual characteristics cudents about
Criteria for Student Success	Internship supers	risors would indicate in their formal evaluation of the stu	dents they supervised that these students demonst	rated a clear unde	erstanding of
Criteria for Student Success	diversity and has items on a 6-poir	the ability to relate to students from different background transcale, with 1=Poor, 2=Fair, 3=Average, 4=Good, and a of 3 or above on the designated items are considered to	ds/ cultures. The formal evaluation process asks b=Excellent. There is also a NA (Non-Applicable of the control	nternship superv	isors to rate
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	Ninety (90%) of the students will achieve an average score of at least 4.0 across the items measured by the instrument.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% achieved target.	the indicated
Methods		pervisors complete a formal evaluation of the students the anding of diversity and the ability to relate to students from		uation includes it	ems related to
Based on your results, circle or l	highlight whether	er the program met the goal Student Learning C	outcome 3.	<b>Met</b>	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	l actions planned for program improvement. The a	ctions should include a timeline.)		
		ng of diversity and the ability to relate to students from d			
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	for follow-up I	f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvemen	t.)	
N/A		ar and are	program improvemen	/	