Assurance of Student Learning				
2018-2019				
College of Education and Behavioral Science Educational Administration, Leadership, and Research				
Organizational Leadership 0467				

Use this page	e to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed informa in the subsequent pages.	tion must b	e completed
Student Lear	rning Outcome 1: Analyze and apply leadership theories, models, approaches, and constructs that create and	effective le	adership
process			
Instrument 1	Direct: Analysis of Capstone Project/Research Paper		
Instrument 2	Direct: Examination of Pre-Post Program Assessment Survey Results		
Instrument 3			
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met
Student Lear	ning Outcome 2: Compare and contrast behaviors of effective leaders		
Instrument 1	Direct: Analysis of Capstone Project/Research Paper		
Instrument 2	Direct: Examination of Pre-Post Program Assessment Survey Results		
Instrument 3			
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	<mark>Not Met</mark>
Student Lear	ning Outcome 3: Evaluate or predict ethical leadership perspectives and how they impact the leadership pro	cess	
Instrument 1	Direct: Analysis of Personal Ethical Analysis Paper		
Instrument 2	Direct: Examination of Pre-Post Program Assessment Survey Results		
Instrument 3			
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Program Sur	nmary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)		<u> </u>
Overall, the resu for approximate has undergone V new faculty were fall term. This pr	Its are mixed in regard to SLOs. The curriculum has needed revision and transformation that was not possible due to limited full-time by 300 students). Under new College administrative leadership, the Program was moved to its present Department (EALR) at the VKU's Comprehensive Academic Program Evaluation (CAPE). The new Department has needed resources to facilitate a revision of hired (now three full-time faculty). The CAPE results indicated that the program needed transformation. The transformation proce- rocess will review SLOs to the University mission then assess existing course SLOs to the program SLOs. The present program is rogram. The revisions to occur are to re-define the core classes by eliminate course options within the core to ensure a set and program.	end of the Fal of the existing ess will begin nore of a colle	1 2018 term and curriculum and during the 2019 ction of courses

Electives will consist of a specific cognate through advisor approved courses or inclusion of a graduate certificate as electives. The capstone course will continue its focus on preparing students for careers after graduation but will have a more deliberate connection between the program core courses and electives (cognate). A new student learning assessment will replace the present process in the capstone course to provide faculty with better data for assessment. An exit survey on the program is also planned as in indirect measure of SLO achievement. Other program revisions may occur as the transformation process occurs over the next two (2019-2021) academic years.

		Student Learning Outco	me 1	
Student Learning Outcome	Analyze and apply leadership theories, models, approaches, and constructs that create and effective leadership process			
Measurement Instrument 1	DIRECT measures of student learning: Students in the capstone course were given a final, written project/research paper that required them to synthesize their work in the program's core courses. The paper was broken into two parts to evaluate SLOs 1 and 2. To assess SLO 1, students were asked interview five people who were in their proposed professional field that they will pursue upon graduation. Each interview focused on both the profession (to lean more from practitioners) and the leadership theories, models, approaches, and constructs viewed as most effective in that profession. Students then compared interview results to program defined leadership approaches, theories, models, and constructs linking theory and/or concepts to practice.			
Criteria for Student Success	and Universities	at the end of the program score between upper "n s (AACU) Critical Thinking + Analysis + Written bric item for this SLO ranged from "Capstone (4)	Communication Rubric (modified to accommo	
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	80%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	50%
Methods	identifiers remo program; this is	s from the capstone course project/paper were coll ved (student name, course numbers, faculty name) why 10 random samples were assessed. The rubri Rubric (modified to accommodate leadership SL	). At the time of the evaluation there was only c used for scoring was the AACU Critical Thi	one full-time faculty in the
Measurement Instrument 2		nts completed a pre-post leadership assessment ba rrect answers. Three questions were used to assess		ns that required students to
Criteria for Student Success	Students should	at the end of the program should increase their sc	ore on the online assessment for each SLO by	at least 10 percent.
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	10% increase in scores of correct answers between pre and post-tests by 80% of students	Percent of Program Achieving Target	50%
Methods	(LEAD 600). The SLO 1. The test	s administered during the first core course (LEAD he test consisted of 22 questions that required stud was online and developed using Qualtrics softwar dentification number that was included in the data	ents to select all the correct answers. Three qu re. The results of the pre-post tests were collec	estions were used to assess
Measurement Instrument 3				
Criteria for Student Success				
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target	

Met Not M			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.       Met       Not M         Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)       Image: Constraint of the program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the ongoing transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dwe assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?         Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)         The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:         - Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.         - Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.         - Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.         - Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.	Mathads		
Met       Not Main         Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)         The program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the ongoing transformation process. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dwe assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?         Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)         The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:         - Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.         - Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.         - Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.         - Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.			
Met       Not Main         Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)         The program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the ongoing transformation process. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dwe assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?         Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)         The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:         - Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.         - Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.         - Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.         - Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.			
Met       Not Main         Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)         The program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the ongoing transformation process. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dwe assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?         Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)         The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:         - Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.         - Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.         - Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.         - Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.			
The program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the ongoing transformation process. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How d we assess program electives in relationship to the core classes? Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.) The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:     - Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.     - Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.     - Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.     - Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.	Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	<mark>Not Met</mark>
<ul> <li>transformation process. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How d we assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?</li> <li>Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)</li> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:</li> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>	Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)		
<ul> <li>data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dive assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?</li> <li>Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)</li> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows: <ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO wil	l emerge from the ongoi	ng
<ul> <li>data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How dive assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?</li> <li>Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)</li> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows: <ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>we assess program electives in relationship to the core classes?</li> <li>Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)</li> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows: <ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	data to assess SLOs? c) Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to a	address program outcom	nes? E) How do
<ul> <li>Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)</li> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows: <ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		1 0	
<ul> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:</li> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:</li> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>	Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program in	mprovement.)	
<ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>	The program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:		
<ul> <li>Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strategy for the transform program.</li> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>	1 0 1		
<ul> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>		ad assessment strategy fo	or the transformed
<ul> <li>Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.</li> <li>Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.</li> </ul>		ia assessment strategy to	in the transformer
- Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and program assessment.			
- Fall 2021: program revised and implemented.		course and program asse	essment.
	- Fall 2021: program revised and implemented.		

		Student Learning Outcor	ne 2	
Student Learning Outcome	Compare and	contrast behaviors of effective leaders		
Measurement Instrument 1	to synthesize the students were as interview focuse	res of student learning: Students in the capstone co eir work in the program's core courses. The paper sked interview five people who were in their propo- ed on both the profession (to lean more from practi- lents then compared interview results to program d	was broken into two parts to evaluate SLOs 1 sed professional field that they will pursue up tioners) and the leader behaviors viewed as m	and 2. To assess SLO 2, on graduation. Each ost effective in that
Criteria for Student Success	Analysis + Writ	at the end of the program score between upper "m ten Communication Rubric (modified to accommo "Milestones (3)/(2)," and "Benchmark (1)."		
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	80%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	70%
Methods	identifiers remo program; this is	s from the capstone course project/paper were colle ved (student name, course numbers, faculty name) why 10 random samples were assessed. The rubric Rubric (modified to accommodate leadership SLC	. At the time of the evaluation there was only c used for scoring was the AACU Critical Thir	one full-time faculty in the
Measurement Instrument 2		nts completed a pre-post leadership assessment ba rrect answers. Two questions were used to assess S		ns that required students to
Criteria for Student Success	Students should	at the end of the program should increase their sco	ore on the online assessment for each SLO by	at least 10 percent.
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	10% increase in scores of correct answers between pre and post-tests by 80% of students	Percent of Program Achieving Target	60%
Methods	(LEAD 600). TI SLO 2. The test	s administered during the first core course (LEAD he test consisted of 22 questions that required stude was online and developed using Qualtrics softwar dentification number that was included in the data	ents to select all the correct answers. Two que e. The results of the pre-post tests were collec	stions were used to assess
Measurement Instrument 3				
Criteria for Student Success				
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target	
Methods				

tions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.) e program summary best describes the actions to correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Specific actions to improve this SLO will emerge from the bcess. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accura Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How ctives in relationship to the core classes?	irate data to	assess SLOs?
Are success. Questions driving the transformation process include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University mission? b) What artifacts will provide the most accurate the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How crives in relationship to the core classes?	irate data to	assess SLOs
Are the learning outcomes measurable? d) Are the learning outcomes of core courses appropriately designed to address program outcomes? E) How ctives in relationship to the core classes?		
ctives in relationship to the core classes?	ow do we a	ssess progran
*		
law Up (Drouide your timeline for follow up. If follow up has accurred, describe how the actions should have resulted in program improvement)		
low Up (Drovide your timeline for fellow up. If fellow up has eccurred describe how the actions shows have reculted in preserver improvement)		
<b>llow-Up</b> (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)		
e program summary and actions above best describe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions is as follows:		
- Fall 2019: develop framework for program transformation and revise/develop program learning outcomes.		
- Spring 2020: align existing courses to new program learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages in existing curriculum; develop and assessment strat	ategy for th	he transformed
gram.		
- Summer/Fall 2020: revise core programs; process program and core course revisions through the curriculum approval process.		
- Spring 2021: revise LEAD electives and process revisions through the curriculum approval process; complete revision of capstone course and progra	ram assessi	ment.
- Fall 2021: program revised and implemented.		

Student Learning Outcome 3				
Student Learning Outcome	Evaluate or predict ethical leadership perspectives and how they impact the leadership process			
Measurement Instrument 1				
Criteria for Student Success	Students should at the end of the program score between upper "milestone" and lower "capstone" on the AACU Ethical Reasoning Value Rubric (modified to accommodate the ethical leadership SLO). Scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Capstone (4)," "Milestones (3)/(2)," and "Benchmark (1)."			
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	80%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	80%
Methods	students in the co was only one full	burse $(N = 10)$ and all identifiers removed (st	hical leadership statement paper were collected fro udent name, course numbers, faculty name). At the random samples were assessed. The rubric used fo eadership SLO 3).	e time of the evaluation there
Measurement Instrument 2	DIRECT: Students completed a pre-post leadership assessment based on SLOs. The test consisted of 22 questions that required students to select all the correct answers. Four questions were used to assess SLO 3.			
Criteria for Student Success	Students should a	at the end of the program should increase the	ir score on the online assessment for SLO 3 by at 1	east 10 percent.

Program Success Target for this	s Measurement	10% increase in scores of correct answers between pre and post-tests by 80% of students	Percent of Program Achieving Target	60%
Methods	(LEAD 600). T SLO 3. The test	is administered during the first core course (LEAD the test consisted of 22 questions that required stude t was online and developed using Qualtrics softwa identification number that was included in the data	lents to select all the correct answers. Four qu re. The results of the pre-post tests were colle	estions were used to assess
Measurement Instrument 3				
Criteria for Student Success				
Program Success Target for this	s Measurement		Percent of Program Achieving Target	
Methods				
Based on your results, circle or l	highlight whethe	er the program met the goal Student Learning (	Dutcome 3.	Met Not Met
	01	l actions planned for program improvement. The	,	
the first direct method did and is y process. Questions driving the tran	viewed as more s sformation proce ssurable? d) Are	o correct program deficiencies for this SLO. Althous ignificant that the second direct measure. Specific ss include a) Are SLOs aligned to the University m the learning outcomes of core courses appropriate	actions to improve this SLO will emerge from ission? b) What artifacts will provide the most	om the ongoing transformation t accurate data to assess SLOs?
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline	e for follow-up. I	f follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions	above have resulted in program improvement	nt.)
<ul> <li>Fall 2019: develop framework</li> <li>Spring 2020: align existing co</li> </ul>	k for program trai	ribe follow-up actions. The timeline for these actions formation and revise/develop program learning or gram learning outcomes; identify gaps and overages	putcomes.	ent strategy for the transformed
	ectives and proce	cess program and core course revisions through the ss revisions through the curriculum approval proce		program assessment.