What Is IPEDS?

The Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) is a system of survey components that collects data from nearly 7,000 institutions that provide postsecondary education across the United States. IPEDS collects institution-level data on students (enrollment and graduation rates), student charges, program completions, faculty, staff, and finances.

These data are used at the federal and state level for policy analysis and development; at the institutional level for benchmarking and peer analysis; and by students and parents, through the College Navigator (http://collegenavigator.ed.gov), to aid in the college search process. For more information about IPEDS, see http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds.

What Is the Purpose of This Report?

The Data Feedback Report is intended to provide institutions a context for examining the data they submitted to IPEDS. Our goal is to produce a report that is useful to institutional executives and that may help improve the quality and comparability of IPEDS data.

What Is in This Report?

The figures provided in this report are those suggested by the IPEDS Technical Review Panel. They were developed to provide selected indicators and data elements for your institution and a comparison group of institutions. The figures are based on data collected during the 2010-11 IPEDS collection cycle and are the most recent data available. Additional information about these indicators is provided in the Methodological Notes at the end of the report. On the next page is a list of the institutions in your comparison group and the criteria used for their selection. Please refer to "Comparison Group" in the Methodological Notes for more information.

Where Can I Do More with IPEDS Data?

The Executive Peer Tool (ExPT) is designed to provide campus executives easy access to institutional and comparison group data. Using the ExPT, you can produce reports using different comparison groups and access a wider range of IPEDS variables. The ExPT is available through the IPEDS Data Center (http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/data center).

Western Kentucky University
Bowling Green, KY
Comparison group data are included to provide a context for interpreting your institution’s statistics. For this report, you specified a custom comparison group.

You described this custom comparison group as follows: Previous Benchmark Institutions

The custom comparison group chosen by Western Kentucky University includes the following 19 institutions:

- Ball State University (Muncie, IN)
- California State University-Chico (Chico, CA)
- California State University-Fresno (Fresno, CA)
- Eastern Illinois University (Charleston, IL)
- Eastern Michigan University (Ypsilanti, MI)
- Florida Atlantic University (Boca Raton, FL)
- Indiana State University (Terre Haute, IN)
- Middle Tennessee State University (Murfreesboro, TN)
- Missouri State University-Springfield (Springfield, MO)
- Montclair State University (Montclair, NJ)
- Northern Arizona University (Flagstaff, AZ)
- Oakland University (Rochester Hills, MI)
- Stephen F Austin State University (Nacogdoches, TX)
- Towson University (Towson, MD)
- University of Central Missouri (Warrensburg, MO)
- University of Northern Iowa (Cedar Falls, IA)
- Western Illinois University (Macomb, IL)
- Wichita State University (Wichita, KS)
- Youngstown State University (Youngstown, OH)
Figure 1. Percent of all students enrolled, by race/ethnicity and percent of students who are women: Fall 2010

NOTE: For this survey year, institutions were required to report race and ethnicity using the 1997 (new) Office of Management and Budget categories. For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, please see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. See “Use of Median Values for Comparison Group” in the Methodological Notes at the end of this report for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 2. Unduplicated 12-month headcount of all students and of undergraduate students (2009-10), total FTE enrollment (2009-10), and full- and part-time fall enrollment (Fall 2010)

NOTE: For details on calculating full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, see Calculating FTE in the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. Total headcount, FTE, and full- and part-time fall enrollment include both undergraduate and postbaccalaureate students, when applicable. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 3. Enrollment, by student level: Fall 2010

NOTE: N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 4. Full-time enrollment, by student level: Fall 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of full-time student</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total full-time</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,639</td>
<td>1,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer-in, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>9,955</td>
<td>9,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondegree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>2,335</td>
<td>2,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>2,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of students

Graduate: 2,100, 2,100
Nondegree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 2,335, 2,335
Continuing, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 9,955, 9,955
Transfer-in, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,096, 1,096
First-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,639, 1,639
Total full-time: 1,067, 1,045

NOTE: N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 5. Part-time enrollment, by student level: Fall 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of part-time student</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total part-time</td>
<td>1,585</td>
<td>1,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer-in, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,096</td>
<td>1,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuing, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nondegree/certificate-seeking undergraduate</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>1,067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of students

Graduate: 1,067, 1,067
Nondegree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,067, 1,067
Continuing, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,067, 1,067
Transfer-in, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,096, 1,096
First-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate: 1,096, 1,096
Total part-time: 1,585, 1,585

NOTE: N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 6. Percent of all undergraduate students enrolled, by race/ethnicity and percent of students who are women: Fall 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/ethnicity or gender</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident alien</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: For this survey year, institutions were required to report race and ethnicity using the 1997 (new) Office of Management and Budget categories. For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, please see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. See "Use of Median Values for Comparison Group" in the Methodological Notes at the end of this report for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.
Figure 7. Percent of all graduate students enrolled, by race/ethnicity and percent of students who are women: Fall 2010

NOTE: For this survey year, institutions were required to report race and ethnicity using the 1997 (new) Office of Management and Budget categories. For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, please see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. See "Use of Median Values for Comparison Group" in the Methodological Notes at the end of this report for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 8. Student-to-faculty ratio: Fall 2010

NOTE: Student-to-faculty ratio data are presented only for institutions that have undergraduate students; graduate only institutions are not included. For details, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 9. Number of applicants, admissions, and students enrolled full and part time: Fall 2010

NOTE: Admissions data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. For more information, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 10. Percent of applicants admitted, and percent of admissions enrolled by full- and part-time status: Fall 2010

NOTE: Admissions data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. For more information, see the Methodological Notes. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. See "Use of Median Values for Comparison Group" for how median values are determined. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 11. Percentile SAT scores of first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students: Fall 2010

NOTE: Test score data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. Institutions report test scores only if they are required for admission. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 12. Percentile ACT scores of first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students: Fall 2010

NOTE: Test score data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. Institutions report test scores only if they are required for admission. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 13. Number of degrees awarded, by level: 2009-10

NOTE: For additional information about postbaccalaureate degree levels, see the Methodology Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 14. Academic year tuition and required fees for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates: 2007-08--2010-11

Figure 15. Average net price of attendance for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students receiving grant or scholarship aid: 2007-08--2009-10

Figure 16. Percent of full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students who received grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans, by type of aid: 2009-10

Figure 17. Average amounts of grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution, or loans received, by full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students, by type of aid: 2009-10

NOTE: The tuition and required fees shown here are the lowest reported from the categories of in-district, in-state, and out-of-state. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


NOTE: Average net price is for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students and is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship aid from the total cost of attendance. For public institutions, this includes only students who paid the in-state or in-district tuition rate. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the weighted average room and board and other expenses. For more information, see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution. Federal grants includes Pell grants and other federal grants. Any loans includes federal loans and other loans to students. For details on how students are counted for financial aid reporting, see Cohort Determination in the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 18. Percent of all undergraduates receiving aid by type of aid: 2009-10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aid</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any grant aid</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell grants</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal loans</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes only federal loans to students. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 19. Average amount of aid received by all undergraduates, by type of aid: 2009-10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of aid</th>
<th>Aid dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any grant aid</td>
<td>$5,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell grants</td>
<td>$4,033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal loans</td>
<td>$5,694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Any grant aid above includes grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, the institution, or other sources. Federal loans includes federal loans to students. Average amounts of aid were calculated by dividing the total aid awarded by the total number of recipients in each institution. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 20. Graduation rate and transfer-out rate (2004 cohort); graduation rate cohort as a percent of total entering students and retention rates of first-time students (Fall 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduation rate, overall (N=19)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer-out rate (N=15)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduation rate cohort as a percent of total entering students (N=19)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-time retention rate (N=19)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time retention rate (N=19)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Graduation rate cohort includes all full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students. Entering class includes all students coming to the institution for the first time. Only institutions with a mission to prepare students to transfer are required to report transfers out. Graduation and transfer-out rates are the Student Right-to-Know rates. Retention rates are measured from the fall of first enrollment to the following fall. 4-yr institutions report retention rates for students seeking a bachelor’s degree. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

Figure 21. Graduation rates of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 150% of normal time to program completion, by race/ethnicity: 2004 cohort

Note: For this survey year, institutions could report race and ethnicity using both 1977 (old) and 1997 (new) Office of Management and Budget categories. Categories shown in this figure are derived by adding comparable categories from both old and new; however, the “Two or more races” category appears only in the 1997 version. For more information about disaggregation of data by race and ethnicity, see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. The graduation rates are the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rates. For more information see the Methodological Notes. Median values for the comparison group will not add to 100 percent. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 22. Bachelor's degree graduation rates of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates within 4 years, 6 years, and 8 years: 2002 cohort

Note: The 6-year graduation rate is the Student Right-to-Know (SRK) rate; the 4- and 8-year rates are calculated using the same methodology. For more information see the Methodological Notes at the end of the report. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 23. Full-time equivalent staff, by assigned position: Fall 2010

Note: Graduate assistants are not included in this figure. For information on the calculation of FTE of staff, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

IPEDS DATA FEEDBACK REPORT

Figure 24. Average salaries of full-time instructional staff equated to 9-month contracts, by academic rank: Academic year 2010-11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic rank</th>
<th>Average salary</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All ranks (N=19)</td>
<td>$61,371</td>
<td>$67,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor (N=19)</td>
<td>$65,794</td>
<td>$70,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate professor (N=19)</td>
<td>$54,409</td>
<td>$59,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant professor (N=19)</td>
<td>$41,761</td>
<td>$43,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor (N=17)</td>
<td>$46,159</td>
<td>$49,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecturer (N=9)</td>
<td>$54,727</td>
<td>$54,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No academic rank (N=8)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Average full-time instructional staff salaries for 11/12-month contracts were equated to 9-month average salaries by multiplying the 11/12-month salary by .812. Salaries based on less than 9-month contracts are not included. Medical school salaries are not included. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 25. Percent distribution of core revenues, by source: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue source</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition and fees</td>
<td>34.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State appropriations</td>
<td>30.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local appropriations</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants and contracts</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private gifts, grants, and contracts</td>
<td>10.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment return</td>
<td>9.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other core revenues</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For a detailed definition of core revenues, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 26. Core revenues per FTE enrollment, by source: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue source</th>
<th>Dollars per FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition and fees</td>
<td>$3,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State appropriations</td>
<td>$2,071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local appropriations</td>
<td>$1,885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government grants and contracts</td>
<td>$1,037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private gifts, grants, and contracts</td>
<td>$367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment return</td>
<td>$207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other core revenues</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For a detailed definition of core revenues, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


Figure 27. Percent distribution of core expenses, by function: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense function</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>48.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>12.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service</td>
<td>12.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic support</td>
<td>13.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional support</td>
<td>13.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student services</td>
<td>13.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other core expenses</td>
<td>13.94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For a detailed definition of core expenses, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

## Figure 28. Core expenses per FTE enrollment, by function: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense function</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>$808</td>
<td>$1,221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>$369</td>
<td>$1,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service</td>
<td>$941</td>
<td>$1,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic support</td>
<td>$845</td>
<td>$1,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional support</td>
<td>$1,221</td>
<td>$1,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student services</td>
<td>$1,795</td>
<td>$1,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other core expenses</td>
<td>$1,911</td>
<td>$1,211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. Expenses per full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment, particularly instruction, may be inflated because finance data includes all core expenses while FTE reflects credit activity only. For details on calculating FTE enrollment and a detailed definition of core expenses, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


## Figure 29. Expenses for salaries, wages, and benefits as a percent of total expenses, by function: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expense function</th>
<th>Total core expenses</th>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Research</th>
<th>Public service</th>
<th>Academic support</th>
<th>Institutional support</th>
<th>Student services</th>
<th>Other core expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your institution</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison Group Median</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For more information, see the Methodological Notes at the end of this report. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.


## Figure 30. Endowment assets (year end) per FTE enrollment: Fiscal year 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endowment per FTE</th>
<th>Your institution</th>
<th>Comparison Group Median (N=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$820</td>
<td>$2,994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as the comparison institution. For more information, see the Methodological Notes. N is the number of institutions in the comparison group.

IPEDS DATA FEEDBACK REPORT

METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Overview

This report is based on data supplied by institutions to IPEDS during the 2010-11 survey year. For most surveys, detailed response tables are included in IPEDS First Look reports, which can be found at http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010.

Use of Median Values for Comparison Group

The value for the comparison institution is compared to the median value for the comparison group for each statistic included in the figure. If more than one statistic is presented in a figure, the median values are determined separately for each indicator or statistic. Medians are not reported for comparison groups with less than three values. Where percentage distributions are presented, median values may not add to 100 percent. Through the ExPT, users have access to all of the data used to create the figures included in this report.

Missing Statistics

If a statistic is not reported for your institution, the omission indicates that the statistic is not relevant to your institution and the data were not collected. As such, not all notes listed below may be applicable to your report.

Use of Imputed Data

All IPEDS data are subject to imputation for total (institutional) and partial (item) nonresponse. If necessary, imputed values were used to prepare your report.

Data Confidentiality

IPEDS data are not collected under a pledge of confidentiality.

Disaggregation of Data by Race/Ethnicity

When applicable, some statistics are disaggregated by race/ethnicity. Data disaggregated by race/ethnicity have been reported using the 1997 (new) Office of Management and Budget categories. Detailed information about the recent race/ethnicity changes can be found at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/reic/resource.asp.

Postbaccalaureate Degree Categories

The use of new postbaccalaureate degree categories was mandatory in the 2010-11 collection year. These categories are: doctor’s degree-research/scholarship, doctor’s degree-professional practice, and doctor’s degree-other. (The first-professional degree and certificate categories and the single doctor’s degree category have been eliminated.)

Cohort Determination for Reporting Student Financial Aid and Graduation Rates

Student cohorts for reporting Student Financial Aid and Graduation Rates data are based on the reporting type of the institution. For institutions that report based on an academic year (those operating on standard academic terms), student counts and cohorts are based on fall term data. Student counts and cohorts for program reporters (those that do not operate on standard academic terms) are based on unduplicated counts of students enrolled during a full 12-month period.

Description of Statistics Used in the Figures

Admissions and Test Score Data

Admissions and test score data are presented only for institutions that do not have an open admission policy, and apply to first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students only. Applicants include only those students who fulfilled all requirements for consideration for admission and who were notified of one of the following actions: admission, non-admission, placement on a wait list, or application withdrawn (by applicant or institution). Admitted applicants (admissions) include wait-listed students who were subsequently offered admission. Early decision, early action, and students who began studies during the summer prior to the fall reporting period are included. Institutions report test scores only if they are required for admission.

Average Institutional Net Price

Average net price is calculated for full-time, first-time degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who were awarded grant or scholarship aid from the federal government, state/local government, or the institution anytime during the full aid year. For public institutions, this includes only students who paid the in-state or in-district tuition rate. Other sources of grant aid are excluded. Average net price is generated by subtracting the average amount of federal, state/local government, and institutional grant and scholarship aid from the total cost of attendance. Total cost of attendance is the sum of published tuition and required fees, books and supplies, and the weighted average room and board and other expenses.

For the purpose of the IPEDS reporting, aid received refers to financial aid that was awarded to, and accepted by, a student. This amount may differ from the aid amount that is disbursed to a student.

Core Expenses

Core expenses include expenses for instruction, research, public service, academic support, institutional support, student services, scholarships and fellowships (reported under FASB standards as net grant aid to students), and other expenses. Expenses for operation and maintenance of plant, depreciation, and interest are allocated to each of the other functions. Core expenses exclude expenses for auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores, dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations.

Core Revenues

Core revenues for public institutions reporting under GASB standards include tuition and fees; government appropriations (federal, state, and local); government grants and contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts; sales and services of educational activities; investment income; other operating and non-operating sources; and other revenues and additions (capital appropriations and grants and additions to permanent endowments). Core revenues for private, not-for-profit institutions (and a small number of public institutions) reporting under FASB include tuition and fees; government appropriations (federal, state, and local); government grants and contracts; private gifts, grants, and contracts (including contributions from affiliated entities); investment return; sales and services of educational activities; other sources. Core revenues for private, for-profit institutions reporting under FASB standards include tuition and fees; government appropriations (federal, state, and local); government grants and contracts; private grants and contracts; net investment income; sales and services of educational activities; and other sources. In general, core revenues exclude revenues from auxiliary enterprises (e.g., bookstores, dormitories), hospitals, and independent operations.
Endowment Assets

Endowment assets, for public institutions under GASB standards, and private, not-for-profit institutions under FASB standards, include gross investments of endowment funds, term endowment funds, and funds functioning as endowment for the institution and any of its foundations and other affiliated organizations. Private, for-profit institutions under FASB do not hold or report endowment assets.

Equated Instructional Staff Salaries

Total salary outlays for full-time instructional staff on 11/12-month contracts were equated to 9-month outlays by multiplying the outlay for 11/12-month contracted instructional staff by 0.8182. The equated outlays were then added to the outlays for 9/10-month instructional staff to determine an average salary for each rank. Salaries are not included for medical school staff or staff on less-than-9-month contracts.

FTE for Enrollment

The full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment used in this report is the sum of the institution’s FTE undergraduate enrollment and FTE graduate enrollment (as calculated from or reported on the 12-month Enrollment component). Undergraduate and graduate FTE are estimated using 12-month instructional activity (credit and/or contact hours). See “Calculation of FTE Students (using instructional activity)” in the IPEDS Glossary at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/glossary/.

FTE for Staff

The full-time equivalent (FTE) of staff is calculated by summing the total number of full-time staff from the Employees by Assigned Position (EAP) section of the Human Resources component and adding one-third of the total number of part-time staff.

Graduation Rates and Transfer-out Rate

Graduation rates are those developed to satisfy the requirements of the Student Right-to-Know and Higher Education Opportunity Acts and are defined as the total number of individuals from a given cohort of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates who completed a degree or certificate within a given percent of normal time (for the degree or certificate) before the ending status date of August 31, 2010, divided by the entire cohort of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates minus any allowable exclusions. Institutions are permitted to exclude from the initial cohort students who died or were totally and permanently disabled; those who left school to serve in the armed forces or were called to active duty; those who left to serve with a foreign aid service of the federal government, such as the Peace Corps; and those who left to serve on an official church mission. Transfer-out rate is the total number of students from the cohort who are known to have transferred out of the reporting institution within the same time period, divided by the same adjusted cohort. Only institutions with a mission that includes preparing students to transfer are required to report transfers out.

Retention Rates

Full-time retention rates are defined as the number of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduate students who enter the institution for the first time in the fall and who return to the same institution the following fall (as either full- or part-time), divided by the total number of full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates in the fall of first entrance. Part-time retention rates are similarly defined. For 4-year institutions offering a bachelor’s degree, this rate is reported only for those first-time students seeking a bachelor’s degree. For less than 4-year institutions, the rate is calculated for all first-time degree/certificate-seeking students.

Salaries, Wages, and Benefits

Salaries, wages, and benefits, for public institutions under GASB standards, and private, not-for-profit institutions under FASB standards, include amounts paid as compensation for services to all employees regardless of the duration of service, and amounts made to or on behalf of an individual over and above that received in the form of a salary or wage. Frequently, benefits are associated with an insurance payment. Private, for-profit institutions under FASB standards do not report salaries.

Student-to-Faculty Ratio

The guidance provided to institutions for calculating their student-to-faculty ratio is as follows: the number of FTE students (using Fall Enrollment data) divided by the total FTE instructional staff (using the total Primarily instruction + Instruction/research/public service staff reported on the EAP section of the Human Resources component and adding any not primarily instructional staff that are teaching a credit course). For this calculation, FTE for students is equal to the number of full-time students plus one-third the number of part-time students; FTE for instructional staff is similarly calculated. Students enrolled in “stand-alone” graduate or professional programs (such as medicine, law, veterinary, dentistry, social work, or public health) and instructional staff teaching in these programs are excluded from the FTE calculations.

Total Entering Undergraduate Students

Total entering students are students at the undergraduate level, both full- and part-time, new to the institution in the fall term (or the prior summer term who returned in the fall). This includes all first-time undergraduate students, students transferring into the institution at the undergraduate level, and nondegree/certificate-seeking undergraduates entering in the fall. Only degree-granting, academic year reporting institutions provide total entering student data.

Tuition and Required Fees

Tuition is defined as the amount of money charged to students for instructional services; required fees are those fixed sum charges to students for items not covered by tuition that are required of such a large proportion of all students that the student who does not pay the charge is an exception. The amounts used in this report are for full-time, first-time, degree/certificate-seeking undergraduates and are those used by the financial aid office to determine need. For institutions that have differential tuition rates for in-district or in-state students, the lowest tuition rate is used in the figure. Only institutions that report on standard academic terms will have tuition figures included in their report.

Additional Methodological Information

IPEDS data are not collected under a pledge of confidentiality. Additional methodological information on the IPEDS components can be found in the publications available at http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/getpubcats.asp?sid=010. Additional definitions of variables used in this report can be found in the IPEDS online glossary available at http://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/glossary/.