



## University Senate *Senate Executive Committee*

Draft Minutes  
Monday Feb. 11, 2013  
3:15 p.m. – WAB 239

---

### A. Call to Order

The regular session of the WKU Senate Executive Committee was called to order on Monday, February 11, 2013 at 3:15 pm by Chair Mac McKerral. A quorum was present.

#### **In Attendance:**

Kirk Atkinson, Charles Borders, Amanda Drost, Gordon Emslie, John Gottfried, Jennifer Hanley, Angela Jerome, Guy Jordan, Mac McKerral, Richard Miller, John White

### B. January Meeting Minutes

- Approved

### C. Reports

#### 1. Chair

##### a. Grading Proposal

- Chair asks Academic Quality and UCC and Grad Council to work together
- Would like to have for March Meeting—but may be able to put off
- Provost Emslie: willing to discuss with SGA and other parties to come up with workable policy
- Comment: The plus-minus system seems at this time to be acceptable to both faculty senate and SGA representatives
- Comment: this is really a topic that faculty senate needs to take responsibility
- Suggestion: offer choice of several alternatives to representative of UCC, AQ, Grad Council, and SGA

##### b. Elections approaching

- Jennifer Hanley will guide election activities
- Standing committee appointments also need to be considered as part of process

#### 2. Vice Chair—no report

#### 3. Secretary—no report

#### 4. Committee Chairs

- a. Academic Quality—no report (will meet Thursday)
- b. Faculty Welfare and Professional Responsibility Committee: No report
- c. General Education Curriculum Committee: No Report
- d. Graduate Council: Report posted
  - Will put more focus on policy section
  - Wants to make sure UCC is okay with format change, so both committees are submitting the same form
  - May be best to present two proposals—one for courses and the other for policy change
  - Report approved
- e. University Curriculum Committee:
  - Some changes and revisions to report—final version will be send to Chair
  - Chair: please send revisions, SEC will do an email vote on revised report, then post for Faculty Senate Meeting

#### 5. Advisory Reports

- a. Faculty Regent (Regent Minter) –No report
- b. Academic Affairs (Provost Emslie)
  - Faculty Roster presentation working well (
  - Commonwealth College
    - Seems unlikely to move forward
    - Plan is to form alliance, rather than compete for it
    - All institutions will work together
  - Budget discussions
    - No major cuts at WKU
    - There are ongoing budget discussions:
    - CPE will vote on tuition cap
    - Chair has communicated with Handbook Committee
      - Have not seen much movement for this committee
      - Need to find a way to present changes incrementally, not as a single work
      - If two readings need to be done in Faculty Senate, then okay
      - The problem is that this leaves all the responsibility with the Provost, and the Faculty lose their input on these issues
    - Chair: Governance Committee
      - We have heard nothing from them
      - We did fill the seats, and we have named an interim chair, but we have not seen anything new

- Provost: was seeing momentum for a while, but this seems to have been lost
- Bi-term proposal—response to recent comments will be delivered at next Faculty Senate Meeting, then a written version will be posted
- Faculty staffing—before courses are added, we need to carefully consider the need for additional faculty

#### **D. New Business**

##### 1. Policy: Student Production Policy 1.3150 (Posted)

- Concerns: “trapdoor” to interference in open campus / free speech
- Chair—is this policy for permission, or just to make aware?
- Provost: just to make us aware
- Comment: could have a chilling effect on free speech, wording “may disrupt” could remove spontaneity
- Comment: just meant to make administrators aware of situations that could cause problems
- Approval of motion to send to committees—Academic Quality and Faculty Welfare

##### 2. IEC memo on Study Abroad Fee (Posted)

- Chair: SEC will not argue merits of fee, but decide what we want to do—send to committee?
- Question: what will this money be used for?
- Provost Emslie: must increase revenue to meet expenditures
- Chair, problem with language in memo: use of benchmarks is a shell game, also implies that we should always do what other universities do
  - The problem with this is that the “have-not” students will not study abroad, while the “haves” will go
- Comment: this new fee should not be sprung on students
- Provost: If issue is timing of imposing the fee, then activation of fee could be delayed
- Suggestion: someone could simply bring a resolution to the floor concerning delaying start of the fee
- Chair: Academic Quality committee look at broader issue as to whether the fee is appropriate

***Adjourned 5 pm***