

Appears in:

BULL. AUSTRAL. MATH. SOC.

54D35, 54F05, 54D40

VOL 49 (1994) [483–488]

ORDERED COMPACTIFICATIONS WITH COUNTABLE REMAINDERS

D. C. Kent and T. A. Richmond

It is shown that if a partially-ordered topological space X admits a finite-point T_2 -ordered compactification, then it admits a countable T_2 -ordered compactification if and only if it admits n -point T_2 -ordered compactifications for all n beyond some integer m .

1. Introduction

Countable compactifications of topological spaces have been studied in [1], [5], [7], and [9]. In [7], Magill showed that a locally compact, T_2 topological space X has a countable T_2 compactification if and only if it has n -point T_2 compactifications for every integer $n \geq 1$. We generalize this theorem to T_2 -ordered compactifications of ordered topological spaces.

Before starting our generalization of Magill's theorem, we recall two unpleasant facts about ordered compactifications. For the class of ordered topological spaces which allow T_2 -ordered compactifications (i.e., the $T_{3.5}$ -ordered spaces), local compactness does not guarantee the existence of finite-point T_2 -ordered compactifications (think of the reals with the usual order and discrete topology); furthermore the existence of an n -point T_2 -ordered compactification for some $n > 1$ does not guarantee the existence of a one-point T_2 -ordered compactification (think of the reals with the usual order and topology). Here is our main theorem: If a $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space X allows a finite-point T_2 -ordered compactification, then X allows a countable T_2 -ordered compactification if and only if there is a positive integer m such that X allows an n -point T_2 -ordered compactification for every $n \geq m$. In case the order on X is equality, the result is equivalent to Magill's theorem.

An *ordered topological space*, or simply an *ordered space* is a triple (X, τ, θ) where τ is a topology on the set X and θ is the graph of a partial order on X . An ordered space (X, τ, θ) is *T_2 -ordered* if θ is closed in the product space $X \times X$, and is *$T_{3.5}$ -ordered* (*completely regular ordered* in [10])

if the following conditions are satisfied: (1) If $A \subseteq X$ is closed and $x \in X \setminus A$, then there exist continuous functions $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with f increasing, g decreasing, $f(x) = g(x) = 1$, and $f(a) \wedge g(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$; (2) If x and y are distinct points in X , then there exists a continuous monotone function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $f(x) = 0$ and $f(y) = 1$. Compact T_2 -ordered implies $T_{3.5}$ -ordered, and $T_{3.5}$ -ordered is hereditary.

An *ordered compactification* of (X, τ, θ) is a compact T_2 -ordered space (X', τ', θ') such that (X', τ') contains (X, τ) as a dense subset, and $\theta \subseteq \theta'$. We will usually write (X', τ', θ') simply as X' . An ordered space has an ordered compactification if and only if it is $T_{3.5}$ -ordered (see [4] or [10]). An ordered compactification (X', τ', θ') of (X, τ, θ) is *strict* if θ' is the smallest order that makes (X', τ') an ordered compactification, i.e., if θ' is the intersection of all closed partial orders on (X', τ') that extend θ .

If X' is an (ordered) compactification of X , the associated *remainder* is the subspace $X' \setminus X$ of X' . An (ordered) compactification whose remainder is finite or countably infinite is called a *finite-point (ordered) compactification* or a *countable (ordered) compactification*, respectively. A relation \leq is defined on the set $K(X)$ of all compactifications of a topological space X by $X^* \geq X'$ if and only if there exists a continuous function $f : X^* \rightarrow X'$ which leaves X pointwise invariant. If $X^* \geq X'$ and $X' \geq X^*$ then X^* and X' are equivalent compactifications. If we do not distinguish between equivalent compactifications, then \leq is a partial order on $K(X)$. The set $K_o(X)$ of all ordered compactifications of ordered space X can be partially ordered in the same manner with the only additional requirement that the *projection function* $f : X^* \rightarrow X'$ be increasing.

If θ' is a partial order on X' , we will write $x \leq' y$ for $(x, y) \in \theta'$. A set $B \subseteq X'$ is *increasing* if $B = \{x \in X' : b \leq' x \text{ for some } b \in B\}$. *Decreasing sets* are defined dually. The discrete order on a set X is $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

2. Countable Remainders

A locally compact topological space X has a two-point compactification if and only if X has some compactification with disconnected remainder (e.g. 6.16 in [2]). We say an ordered space X is *order disconnected* if there exists a continuous increasing surjection $f : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ where $\{0, 1\}$ has the discrete topology and the usual order $0 < 1$. While the existence of an order disconnected remainder does not imply the existence of a two-point ordered compactification (consider $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, which has only three-point and four-point ordered compactifications), we do have the following result.

Lemma 2.1 Suppose X' is an m -point strict ordered compactification of (X, τ, θ) and X^* is a larger ordered compactification of X . Suppose $h : X^* \rightarrow X'$ is the projection function and there exists $\alpha \in X' \setminus X$ such that $h^{-1}(\alpha)$ is order disconnected. Then there exists a $(m + 1)$ -point ordered compactification X'' with $X'' \geq X'$, obtained by replacing α in X' by two compactification points.

Proof: Let X'' be the disjoint union of $X' \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose $g : h^{-1}(\alpha) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is continuous, increasing, and onto. Define $f : X^* \rightarrow X''$ by $f(x) = h(x)$ for $x \in X^* \setminus h^{-1}(\alpha)$ and $f(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in h^{-1}(\alpha)$. If X'' is given the quotient topology τ'' derived from f and X^* , then (X'', τ'') is a topological compactification of X .

Define a relation θ'' on X'' by $a \leq'' b$ if and only if there exist points $a = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n = b$ in X'' such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists a net (x_λ, y_λ) in θ converging in $X'' \times X''$ to (c_{i-1}, c_i) . The points $a = c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n = b$ are called a *trail* from a to b with length n . In [12, Theorem 1.1] it is shown that the analogous relation \leq' defined on X' is the strict order on X' . Observe that the nets (x_λ, y_λ) defining a trail are nets in $\theta \subseteq X^2$ and thus (x_λ) and (y_λ) are embedded in X', X'' , and X^* . Since X' is a quotient of X'' , $x_\lambda \rightarrow c_i$ in X'' implies $x_\lambda \rightarrow c'_i$ in X' , where $c'_i = c_i$ if $c_i \in X'' \setminus \{0, 1\} = X' \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and $c'_i = \alpha$ if $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$. If c_0, \dots, c_n is a trail in X'' from c_0 to c_n where $c_0, c_n \in X'' \setminus \{0, 1\}$, then $c_0 = c'_0, c'_1, \dots, c'_n = c_n$ is a trail in X' from c_0 to c_n , and thus $c_0 \leq' c_n$. This shows that θ'' extends $\theta' \cap (X' \setminus \{0, 1\})^2$, and therefore extends θ .

We now show that \leq'' is antisymmetric. Suppose $a \leq'' b$ and $b \leq'' a$. If $a, b \in X'' \setminus \{0, 1\} = X' \setminus \{\alpha\}$, then $a \leq' b$ and $b \leq' a$, and thus $a = b$. If $a \in X'' \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $b \in \{0, 1\}$, then the trails from a to b and from b to a imply $a \leq' \alpha$ and $\alpha \leq' a$, contrary to the fact that $a \in X'' \setminus \{0, 1\} = X' \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Finally, suppose $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$, i.e., suppose $0 \leq'' 1$ and $1 \leq'' 0$. Since $0 \leq'' 1$, there exists a trail $0 = c_0, \dots, c_i, \dots, c_n = 1$ in X'' from 0 to 1. Viewing the nets involved as nets in X' we have $\alpha = 0' \leq' c'_i \leq' 1' = \alpha$, and thus $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus, the only trail with minimal length from 0 to 1 is 0, 1. Similarly, $1 \leq'' 0$ implies 1, 0 is the unique minimal trail from 1 to 0. Suppose (x_λ, y_λ) is a net in θ converging to $(0, 1)$ and (z_γ, w_γ) is a net in θ converging to $(1, 0)$. Now in $X^* \times X^*$, there are convergent subnets $(x_{\sigma(\lambda)}, y_{\sigma(\lambda)}) \rightarrow (a^*, b^*)$ and $(z_{\rho(\gamma)}, w_{\rho(\gamma)}) \rightarrow (b^\#, a^\#)$ where $a^*, a^\# \in g^{-1}(0)$ and $b^*, b^\# \in g^{-1}(1)$. Since these subnets are in θ and θ^* is closed, it follows

that $a^* \leq^* b^*$ and $b^\# \leq^* a^\#$. But $1 = g(b^\#) \not\leq g(a^\#) = 0$, contrary to g being increasing. Thus $0 \leq'' 1$ and $1 \leq'' 0$ is not possible, and \leq'' is antisymmetric. The relation \leq'' is easily seen to be reflexive and transitive, and is thus a partial order on X'' .

To show that \leq'' is closed in $X'' \times X''$, it suffices to show that if (A_γ, B_γ) is any net in \leq'' converging to (A, B) , then $A \leq'' B$. This can be shown by an induction argument on $\max_\gamma \{\text{length of a minimal trail from } A_\gamma \text{ to } B_\gamma\}$ (which is bounded), as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [12].

Thus, (X'', τ'', \leq'') is a strict ordered compactification of X with $X'' \geq X'$. ■

The lemma below gives us a supply of order disconnected spaces.

Lemma 2.2 Every countable $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space is order disconnected.

Proof: We will show the stronger result that for any distinct points x and y in a countable $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space X , there exists a continuous increasing surjection $g : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ with $g(x) \neq g(y)$. Let $CI^*(X)$ denote the set of continuous increasing functions from X to $[0, 1]$. Since X is $T_{3.5}$ -ordered, the evaluation map $e : X \rightarrow [0, 1]^{CI^*(X)}$ defined $e(x) = \prod_{f \in CI^*(X)} f(x)$ is a topological and order embedding (see [4]). Choose $f_o \in CI^*(X)$ such that $f_o(x) \neq f_o(y)$. Since X is countable, there exists an irrational number α strictly between $f_o(x)$ and $f_o(y)$ with $\alpha \notin \pi_{f_o}(e(X))$. Now since the projection π_{f_o} is continuous and increasing, $\pi_{f_o}^{-1}([0, \alpha)) = \pi_{f_o}^{-1}([0, \alpha]) = U$ is a closed, open, decreasing set in $e(X) \approx X$. The function $g : X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined by $g(U) = 0$ and $g(X \setminus U) = 1$ has the desired properties. ■

In [3] Engelking and Sklyarenko show that the supremum of a set $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ of compactifications of a topological space X can be constructed by forming the product $P = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$, identifying X with the subspace $\{z \in P : z = \prod_{i \in I} x \text{ for some } x \in X\}$, then taking $cl_P X$. This construction also yields the supremum of any set of ordered compactifications. By 1.8 of [8], the remainder of the supremum of a set of (ordered) compactifications is contained in the product of the remainders of these (ordered) compactifications. Thus, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.3 If $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a set of (ordered) compactifications of X with $|X_i \setminus X| < \rho$ for each $i \in I$, then $\sup\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an (ordered) compactification

whose remainder has cardinality at most $\rho \times |I|$.

Theorem 2.4 Suppose (X, τ, θ) admits finite-point ordered compactifications. Then X has a countable ordered compactification if and only if X admits n -point ordered compactifications for all integers n greater than some m .

Proof: Suppose X has m -point ordered compactification X' and countable ordered compactification X^* . Without loss of generality, we may assume X' is a strict ordered compactification, and $X^* \geq X'$ (otherwise, replace θ' by the strict order on (X', τ') and replace X^* by $\sup\{X', X^*\}$). If $h : X^* \rightarrow X'$ is the projection function, there must exist $\alpha \in X' \setminus X$ such that $h^{-1}(\alpha)$ is countable. By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, X has an $(m + 1)$ -point ordered compactification X'' . Repeating this process shows that X has n -point ordered compactifications for all $n \geq m$.

Conversely, if X admits n -point ordered compactifications X_n for all $n > m$, Lemma 2.3 implies that $\sup\{X_n : n > m\}$ is a countable ordered compactification of X . ■

Theorem 2.5 If (X, τ, θ) admits a countable ordered compactification X^* and a finite-point ordered compactification X' with $X' \leq X^*$, then X^* is the supremum of all finite-point ordered compactifications below it.

Proof: The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2.3 of [9]. Let $X'' = \sup\{X^\# \leq X^* : X^\# \text{ is a finite-point ordered compactification of } X\}$. Clearly $X^* \geq X''$. Equality holds if the projection $f : X^* \rightarrow X''$ is one-to-one. Suppose $x \neq y$ in X^* . If the projection $h : X^* \rightarrow X'$ maps x and y to distinct points, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. If $h(x) = h(y)$, use the strong statement proved in Lemma 2.2 to find a finite-point ordered compactification $X^\# \leq X^*$ such that the projection $k : X^* \rightarrow X^\#$ does separate x and y . ■

Theorem 2.6 Suppose X admits a finite-point ordered compactification. Then X has a largest finite-point ordered compactification if and only if it has no countable ordered compactification.

Proof: If X has no countable ordered compactification, then there is an integer n such that X has an n -point ordered compactification but no m -point ordered compactifications for $m > n$. Now any two n -point or-

dered compactifications must be topologically equivalent, for otherwise by considering the associated n -stars (see [6]) we find that the supremum of the topological compactifications underlying the two n -point ordered compactifications has more than n compactification points. Now by the remarks preceding Lemma 2.3, the supremum of a set of ordered compactifications is topologically equivalent to the supremum of the set of underlying topological compactifications, which leads to the contradiction that X admits an m -point ordered compactification with $m > n$. Thus, all n -point ordered compactifications of X are topologically equivalent; intersecting their orders gives a largest finite-point ordered compactification.

The converse is immediate from Theorem 2.4. ■

Although Theorem 2.6 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a largest finite-point ordered compactification, no such result is known which guarantees the existence of a smallest ordered compactification, finite-point or otherwise. Indeed, if X is the half-open interval $[0, 1)$ with the usual topology and discrete order, there is a unique largest finite-point ordered compactification whose order is also discrete, however there is no smallest ordered compactification of X .

If a $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space X admits a finite-point ordered compactification, it obviously admits ordered compactifications whose remainders have minimal finite cardinality; we call any such compactification a *minimal-point ordered compactification*. If X has a smallest finite-point ordered compactification, then all minimal-point ordered compactifications of X have equivalent topologies, but the converse is false as is shown by the example of the preceding paragraph. On the other hand, if all minimal-point ordered compactifications of X have equivalent order, there exists a smallest ordered compactification; again, the converse is false. In general, minimal point ordered compactifications of the same space may have non-equivalent topologies and/or non-equivalent order.

Finally, for the sake of comparing finite-point ordered compactifications with finite-point (non-ordered) compactifications, we mention a few additional facts. A $T_{3.5}$ -ordered space may have a largest finite-point (non-ordered) compactification but no largest finite-point ordered compactification (e.g., the Euclidean plane); on the other hand, it may have a largest finite-point ordered compactification but no largest finite-point (non-ordered) compactification (e.g., the natural number). There are also examples of $T_{3.5}$ -ordered spaces which have a largest finite-point ordered compactification and

a largest finite-point (non-ordered) compactification whose remainders are of different cardinality.

References

- [1] G. L. Cain, Countable compactifications, *General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI*, Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Symposium 1986, Z. Frolík (ed.), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 69-75.
- [2] R. E. Chandler, *Hausdorff Compactifications*, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 23, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1976).
- [3] R. Engelking and E. G. Sklyarenko, On compactifications allowing extensions of mappings, *Fund. Math.* 53 (1963), 65-79.
- [4] P. Fletcher and W. Lindgren, *Quasi-Uniform Spaces*. Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 77, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1982.
- [5] T. Kimura, \aleph_α -Point compactifications of locally compact spaces and product spaces, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 93, 1, (1985), 164-168.
- [6] K. D. Magill, Jr., N -point compactifications, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 72 (1965), 1075-1081.
- [7] K. D. Magill, Jr., Countable compactifications, *Canad. J. Math.*, 18 (1966), 616-620.
- [8] J. R. McCartney, Maximum zero-dimensional compactifications, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 68 (1970), 653-661.
- [9] J. R. McCartney, Maximum countable compactifications of locally compact spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 22 (1971), 369-384.
- [10] L. Nachbin, *Topology and Order*, Van Nostrand, New York Math. Studies 4, Princeton, N.J. (1965).
- [11] T. A. Richmond, Finite-point order compactifications, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 102 (1987), 467-473.

- [12] T. A. Richmond, Posets of ordered compactifications, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 47 (1993), 59–72.

D. C. Kent
Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Washington State University
Pullman, WA 99164-3113

T. A. Richmond
Department of Mathematics
Western Kentucky University
Bowling Green, KY 42101